



David Blundell QC

Call: 2001 Silk: 2020

Email: dblundell@landmarkchambers.co.uk

Practice Manager

Ben Connor

Email: bconnor@landmarkchambers.co.uk

Phone: **020 7421 2483**

Zoe Bluck

Email: zbluck@landmarkchambers.co.uk

Phone: **020 7421 1301**

Thomas Belcher

Email: tbelcher@landmarkchambers.co.uk

Phone: **020 7421 2487**

Expertise

Planning, Public, Environment, Public Procurement, International, European Union Law

Overview

David was called to the Bar in 2001 and was appointed Queen's Counsel in 2020. He began practice in 2004, after spending a year working as a Judicial Assistant to the Law Lords (Lord Nicholls and Lord Rodger). He is described by the latest editions of Chambers & Partners and the Legal 500 as "*one of the top juniors, who is bound to go very far*", whose work "*outstrips that produced by some silks*", and "*an outstanding advocate*" with drafting skills which are "*second to none*". He is "*exceptionally bright*" with "*a brilliant academic and incisive mind*" and is "*a joy to work with*". The previous editions described him as "*an*

extraordinarily impressive advocate, “an outstanding junior”, who is “highly impressive” and “has excellent judgment and is very good with clients”. He is “incredibly clever” and “formidable in court”. He has also been described in the directories as “A “high-class” practitioner” with “deep experience of advocacy before the highest courts, both domestically and abroad, on behalf of government and claimants” who is “[a] QC of choice for the government in challenges to the EU settlement scheme” and “regarded as one of the go-to experts for human rights and EU law” and is “a top-quality lawyer and an excellent communicator”.

David was shortlisted for the Chambers & Partners Human Rights and Public Law Junior of the Year award in both 2018 and 2016, and for the Legal 500 Public Law Junior of the Year award in 2018.

In 2015, David was appointed to the Attorney General’s “A” Panel of Junior Counsel to the Crown, after 5 years on the “B” Panel and 3 years on the “C” Panel before that. He was also on the Treasury Solicitor’s former list of counsel specialising in Freedom of Information issues until its incorporation into the main Attorney General’s Panels. He is developed vetted and regularly works in the national security and counter-terrorism field.

David specialises in all areas of public law, human rights, European Union law, environmental and planning law. He regularly appears in the High Court, Court of Appeal and Supreme Court. He frequently acts as sole counsel on behalf of the United Kingdom Government in proceedings before the Court of Justice of the European Union (“CJEU”) and had represented the United Kingdom in proceedings before the European Court of Human Rights. He is the Joint Editor of the leading public law journal *Judicial Review*.

David is ranked as a leading barrister in six different areas in the main legal directories: Administrative Law, EU Law, Local Government Law, Immigration Law and Community Care in the 2018 edition of Chambers & Partners; and Administrative Law, Civil Liberties and Human Rights, EU Law and Immigration Law in the 2017 edition of the Legal 500. The 2015 Planning Magazine survey ranked him as a top rated planning junior. The 2009 Planning Magazine survey ranked him in the top 10 planning barristers in the country under 35.

Administrative Law

David practices in all areas of administrative law. He frequently represents and advises on behalf of central government departments, claimants and other public authorities. He has extensive experience in immigration, human rights, national security and counter-terrorism, social security, child support, social services, prisons, local government, freedom of information, environmental and planning law. David has experience of all associated EU law issues, including in particular EU law issues arising from the interaction between immigration and social security law. David has been instructed in cases before all courts and tribunals in administrative law cases, from the First-tier Tribunal to the Supreme Court, Court of Justice of the European Union and the European Court of Human Rights.

David is consistently ranked as a leading junior in this area in both the *Legal 500* and *Chambers & Partners*. Recent comments include:

Chambers & Partners 2016, Administrative Law – “He’s a solid advocate and barrister who is very thoughtful and precise and produces great written work.” “He’s particularly good at understanding what we need as solicitors. He’s always user-friendly and happy to help.”

Legal 500 2015, Administrative Law – “Combines excellent judgement with solid all-round skills across a wide array of public law matters.”

Chambers & Partners 2015, Administrative Law – “In cases that have an overlap of public law and immigration, he is very solid and has great judgement.”

Legal 500 2014, Administrative Law – “A bright barrister with an excellent feel for the strength of a claim and how it will be received in court.”

Chambers & Partners 2014, Administrative Law – “He is exceptionally bright, has solid judgement, and is extremely hard-working.”

Chambers & Partners 2013 – David Blundell “embodies that most often sought-after combination in a public law counsel: a quick legal mind and attention to detail”. He offers “practical, thoughtful and prompt advice”.

Recent significant public law cases in which David has appeared include:

- *In the matter of the Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission* [2015] NIQB 96 – acted for the Commission, in its successful challenge to the legality of the prohibition on abortion in Northern Ireland in cases of rape, incest and serious

foetal abnormality (led by Nathalie Lieven QC).

- *R (XH) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2015] EWHC 2932 (Admin) – acted for the Secretary of State in leading case on the use of the Royal Prerogative to cancel passports of terrorist suspects, and one of the first challenges to the use of closed material procedures in national security proceedings (led by James Eadie QC and Tim Eicke QC).
- *R (Richmond Pharmacology Ltd) v. Health Research Authority* [2015] EWHC 2238 (Admin), (2015) 146 BMLR 160 – acted for the claimant in a commercial regulatory challenge to imposition of conditions on clinical trials, examination of the principle of transparency and certainty in public law (led by David Lock QC).
- *TN (Afghanistan) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2015] UKSC 40, [2015] 1 WLR 3083 – acted for the Secretary of State, three linked Supreme Court cases on the compatibility of domestic judicial review with EU law and the *Rashid* principle (sole counsel in two cases in Court of Appeal, led by Jonathan Hall QC in the Supreme Court).
- Joined cases C-165/14 and 304/14 *CS (Morocco) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department and Rendon Marin v. Spain* – sole counsel for the United Kingdom in the Grand Chamber of the CJEU, references on the application of the *Zambrano* principle in cases of serious criminality.
- *DIL and others v. Commissioner of the Police of the Metropolis* – acted for the Metropolitan Police in claims concerning alleged relationships with undercover officers (led by Jonathan Hall QC).
- *R (Cotton) v. Secretary of State for Work and Pensions* [2014] EWHC 3437 (Admin), [2015] ACD 20 – acted for the Claimants, instructed by Liberty, in challenge to the legality of the bedroom tax (led by Nathalie Lieven QC).
- *Chaudhry v. United Kingdom*, application no. 17489/12 – sole counsel for the United Kingdom in the European Court of Human Rights, challenge to the compatibility of domestic immigration appeal rights with Article 13
- *JD (Congo) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2012] EWCA Civ 327, [2012] Imm AR 719, [2012] INLR 412, *The Times*, 28 May 2012 – acted for the Secretary of State in leading case on the second appeals test where an appellant has won before the First-tier Tribunal and lost in the Upper Tribunal.
- *R (Shoesmith) v. Ofsted and others* [2011] EWCA Civ 642, [2011] PTSR 1459, [2011] ICR 1195 – represented Sharon Shoesmith in her successful appeal to the Court of Appeal against the decision of the Secretary of State to remove her from post and of Haringey LBC to sack her (led by James Maurici QC).
- David is currently instructed in a number of significant on-going public law challenges, including the following:
- Case C-573/14 *Lounani* – sole counsel for the United Kingdom in its intervention in a reference from the Belgian courts, involving a challenge to the operation of the exclusion clause in Art 12(2)(c) of the Qualification Directive to a Moroccan convicted of terrorism offences in Belgium (hearing listed before the CJEU on 16 February 2016).
- *R (Galdikas) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department and Secretary of State for Work and Pensions* – challenge to the application of the “genuine prospect of work test” to victims of trafficking.
- *R (Dan) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* – challenge to the legality of the Government’s flagship regime of “deport first, appeal later” regime under reg. 24AA of the Immigration (European Economic Area) Regulations 2006 (heard by Walker J on 18 November 2015, judgment pending).
- Counsel to the Department of Transport in its response to the Airports Commission’s report on airport capacity (led by James Maurici QC).
- Counsel to the Secretary of State for the Home Department in a series of challenges to decisions to revoke or cancel their passports under the Royal Prerogative, on the grounds of suspected involvement with terrorism (led by James Eadie QC and Tim Eicke QC).
- Civil law damages action against the Security Services and various government departments relating to alleged actions abroad (led by Lisa Giovannetti QC).

David is the Joint Editor (with David Lock QC) of the leading public law journal *Judicial Review*. He is also a contributing editor to Westlaw’s online legal encyclopedia “Insight”, having written the articles on irrationality, habeas corpus and legitimate expectation. He is a member of ALBA.

European Union Law

David regularly appears in cases relating to European Union law issues, both in the domestic courts and the Court of Justice of the European Union. He has particular experience in cases involving EU issues in the context of national security, immigration, social security and environmental law.

Recent and ongoing EU law cases in the CJEU in which David is involved as sole counsel include the following:

- Case C-165/14 *Rendon Marin* – intervention in Spanish reference on the scope of citizenship rights under Article 20 TFEU and the decision in *Zambrano*, specifically whether it is possible to derogate from such rights in the public interest in situations of criminality – heard by the Grand Chamber on 30 June 2015, awaiting judgment.
- Case C-304/14 *CS (Morocco)* – reference from the Upper Tribunal on the same point as in *Rendon Marin* – heard by the Grand Chamber on 30 June 2015 with *Rendon Marin*, awaiting judgment.
- Case C-573/14 *Lounani* – intervention in Belgian reference concerning exclusion from international protection under Directive 2004/83/EC owing to involvement in terrorism offences – hearing listed on 16 February 2016.
- Case C-133/15 *Chavez Vilchez* – intervention in Dutch reference on the scope of citizenship rights under Article 20 TFEU

and the decision in *Zambrano*, specifically whether such rights arise in situations where the EU citizen parent is present but may not be willing or able to look after the child.

- Case C-554/14 *Ognyanov* – intervention in Bulgarian reference on the scope of Framework Decision 2008/909/JHA, the Prisoner Transfer Framework Decision. The case concerns the question whether the Prisoner Transfer Framework Decision precludes an executing state from reducing the time spent in prison there on account of time spent working while in prison in the transferring state. There is also a broader question as to whether Framework Decisions can have direct effect.
- Pembroke Power Station infraction (European Commission infringement number 2012/4149) – advising multiple government departments in relation to threatened infraction proceedings against the UK over alleged failures to comply with the Habitats Directive, the IPPC Directive and the EIA Directive in respect of the construction of Pembroke Power Station. The case raises significant issues about the UK’s approach to the construction of major infrastructure projects and its compatibility with various EU environmental law regimes.
- Recent and ongoing EU cases in which David has been involved in the domestic courts include the following:
- *R (Richmond Pharmacology Ltd) v. Health Research Authority* [2015] EWHC 2238 (Admin), (2015) 146 BMLR 160 – represented the claimant clinical trials company in this challenge to the regulation of Phase 1 clinical trials by the HRA (with David Lock QC). The case involved consideration of the EU regulatory regime relating to clinical trials, in particular in Directive 2001/20/EC (the Clinical Trials Directive) and Regulation 536/2014/EU (the Clinical Trials Regulation). The judicial review succeeded on grounds of a lack of legal certainty in the HRA’s regulatory materials.
- *R (XH) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* – acted for the Secretary of State in defending this challenge to the exercise of the Royal Prerogative to withdraw passports from terrorist suspects, including arguments about the impact of EU free movement and citizenship rights on the use of the Royal Prerogative and on the reasons and evidence which are required to be disclosed to subjects of such decisions following *ZZ (France)* (led by James Eadie QC and Tim Eicke QC).
- *R (Dan) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* – instructed on behalf of the Secretary of State in case concerning the interpretation and application of reg. 24AA of the Immigration (European Economic Area) Regulations 2006, the provision which allows the interim deportation of foreign national offenders who otherwise have EU rights of residence in the UK. Heard by Walker J on 18 November 2015, judgment awaited.
- *Abdul v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* – important case on the scope of Article 24(2) of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights. Heard by the President of the Upper Tribunal (Immigration and Asylum Chamber) on 9 December 2015, judgment awaited.
- *TN (Afghanistan) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2015] UKSC 40, [2015] 1 WLR 3083 – represented the Secretary of State in the Supreme Court in three joined appeals challenging the compatibility of judicial review with the requirements of Article 39 of Directive 2005/85/EC relating to effective remedies in EU law (led by Jonathan Hall QC in the Supreme Court, sole counsel in the Court of Appeal in two of the appeals). The case also raised issues concerning the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights. The appeals were dismissed and a reference to the CJEU was refused.
- *R (FCC Environment (UK) Ltd) v. Secretary of State for Energy and Climate Change* [2015] EWCA Civ 55, [2015] Env LR 22 – represented the Secretary of State in defending this challenge to the compatibility of Special Parliamentary Procedure with the requirements of the EIA Directive. The appeal was dismissed.
- *R (SN and LS) v. Secretary of State for Work and Pensions* – counsel to the claimants in this judicial review challenge to the legality of the various “*Zambrano* regulations” designed to remove entitlement to mainstream benefits from *Zambrano* carers (led by Richard Drabble QC). Currently stayed behind similar proceedings awaiting a decision on permission to appeal to the Supreme Court.
- David was formerly a Visiting Lecturer in European Union law at City University and, prior to commencing practice, he undertook a stage at the European Commission in the Internal Market Directorate General, dealing with the free movement of services. He has also published on EU law issues. He has previously worked as a volunteer with the AIRE Centre (Advice on Individual Rights in Europe), providing advice on European human rights law and European Union law.

Human Rights

David has very considerable experience in civil liberties and human rights work. He has represented claimants, public authorities and interested parties in cases involving cutting edge human rights issues in courts at all levels, both domestically and in the European Court of Human Rights.

David is recommended as a leading junior in Civil Liberties and Human Rights in the 2015 edition of the *Legal 500*, which describes him as having “in-depth knowledge of the law and first-class drafting skills.”

Amongst the most significant recent cases in which David has appeared are the following:

- *In the matter of the Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission* [2015] NIQB 96 – represented the Commission in its successful challenge to the legality of the prohibition on abortion in Northern Ireland in cases of rape, incest and serious foetal abnormality (led by Nathalie Lieven QC).
- *R (XH) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2015] EWHC 2932 (Admin) and other cases – represents the

Secretary of State in leading case on the use of the Royal Prerogative to cancel passports of terrorist suspects, and one of the first challenges to the use of closed material procedures in national security proceedings (led by James Eadie QC and Tim Eicke QC).

- *DIL and others v. Commissioner of the Police of the Metropolis* – counsel to the Metropolitan Police in claims concerning alleged relationships with undercover officers (led by Jonathan Hall QC).
- *R (Cotton) v. Secretary of State for Work and Pensions*[2014] EWHC 3437 (Admin), [2015] ACD 20 – represented the Claimants in challenge to the legality of the bedroom tax (led by Nathalie Lieven QC).
- *Chaudhry v. United Kingdom*, application no. 17489/12 – sole counsel for the United Kingdom in the European Court of Human Rights, challenge to the compatibility of domestic immigration appeal rights with Article 13.

Significant on-going cases in which David is involved include:

- *R (Project 17) v. Lewisham LBC* – counsel to the Claimant in challenge to the legality of Lewisham LBC’s policy on the provision of support under section 17 of the Children Act 1989 (led by Nathalie Lieven QC).
- *R (Dan) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* – challenge to the legality of the Government’s flagship regime of “deport first, appeal later” regime under reg. 24AA of the Immigration (European Economic Area) Regulations 2006). The case involves a comparison of the application of the proportionality principle under the ECHR with the same principle under EU law.
- *R (Galdikas) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department and Secretary of State for Work and Pensions* – challenge to the application of the “genuine prospect of work test” to victims of trafficking.
- *Abdul v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* – leading case on Article 24(2) of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights and Article 8(2) in the context of deportation and family splits.

David has lectured both in this country and abroad on a broad range of human rights issues, including fair trial rights, the right to liberty, human trafficking and terrorism. With Lord Slynn of Hadley, he lectured the judiciary in the Czech Republic and Zambia. He has delivered ECHR training on behalf of the Council of Europe to the judiciary in Turkey and Albania. He has delivered extensive training sessions to local authorities in the UK as a consultant trainer with the British Institute of Human Rights.

Local Government

David has considerable experience of a wide range of local government matters. He acts on behalf of local authorities, individuals, local residents and commercial organisations affected by the exercise of local authority powers.

David is ranked as a leading junior in local government law in the 2016 edition of *Chambers & Partners*, which describes him as:

“A solid advocate and barrister who is very thoughtful and precise, and produces great written work.” “A real all-rounder who has gained a strong reputation in Administrative Court work.”

Among the recent and ongoing cases in this field in which David has been involved are the following:

- *R (Project 17) v. Lewisham LBC* – counsel to the Claimant in challenge to the legality of Lewisham LBC’s policy on the provision of support under section 17 of the Children Act 1989 (led by Nathalie Lieven QC, due to be heard on 20 and 21 January 2016).
- *In re X Council* – advised local residents on a potential challenge to a local authority land disposal under section 123 of the Local Government Act 1972.
- *R (Cotton) v. Secretary of State for Work and Pensions*[2014] EWHC 3437 (Admin), [2015] ACD 20 – counsel to the Claimants in challenge to the legality of the bedroom tax – the case concerned local authority housing benefit legislation and the scope of local authorities to make discretionary payments to avoid hardship (led by Nathalie Lieven QC).
- *R (Tower Bridge Yacht and Boat Company Ltd) v. Secretary of State for Transport* – represented Claimant in challenge to the consultation process on amendments to speed limits set by the Thames Byelaws.
- *R (Dimon) v. North Devon Healthcare NHS Trust* – represented local resident in challenge to the closure of a local hospital ward, in a case which concerned the mechanisms of reorganising NHS local care provision (led by David Lock QC).
- *R (Sanchez) v. King’s College Hospital NHS Trust* and *R (Sanchez) v. Greenwich LBC* – challenges to funding of NHS care for residents without leave to remain and also to decisions on local authority support under section 21 of the National Assistance Act 1948.
- *R (Gleeson Developments Ltd) v. Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government* [2014] EWCA Civ 1118, [2014] PTSR 1226, [2014] JPL 1386 – represented Claimant / Appellant in high profile challenge to the Secretary of State’s exercise of his recovery jurisdiction following the promulgation of an appeal determination by an Inspector (led by John Litton QC).
- *R (SN and LS) v. Secretary of State for Work and Pensions* – challenge to the exclusion of *Zambrano* carers from mainstream benefits and the extent of their ability to rely on local authority support under section 17 of the Children Act

1989 (led by Richard Drabble QC). Currently stayed behind similar proceedings in the Supreme Court.

- *In re X local authority* - advised local planning authority on the implications of a locum planning officer granting planning permission without authority.

Immigration

David has significant experience in immigration cases at the highest levels. He has appeared in many of the most important immigration cases in recent years in the High Court, Court of Appeal, Supreme Court and Court of Justice of the European Union. The 2016 edition of *Chambers & Partners* describes him as “the young barrister of choice” for important immigration cases.

Other recent comments in the legal directories about David include the following:

Chambers & Partners 2016, Immigration Law - “A “high-class” practitioner who has deep experience of advocacy before the highest courts, both domestically and abroad, on behalf of government and claimants. He is regarded as one of the go-to experts for human rights and EU law.” “When you look at important immigration cases, he is the young barrister of choice.”

Legal 500 2015, Immigration Law - “He takes a common-sense approach which is focused on the client’s needs and priorities.”

Chambers & Partners 2015, Immigration Law - “Straight and decent, he’s very fair and a skilled barrister. Briefed by the government in a wide range of cases, he is always a pleasant person to work with. A really fantastic junior, who is hard-working and gets the job done.”

Legal 500 2014, Immigration Law - “Acts for both claimants and defendants.”

Chambers & Partners 2014, Immigration Law - “Known for doing government work in this area.” “He is very good and well liked by solicitors.”

Among the cases in which David has appeared are the following:

- *Ganesharajah v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2014] EWHC 3497 (QB) - unlawful detention claim, concerning one of the longest ever periods of detention under immigration powers.
- *TN (Afghanistan) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2015] UKSC 40, [2015] 1 WLR 3083 - represented the Secretary of State in three linked Supreme Court cases on the compatibility of domestic judicial review with EU law and the *Rashid* principle (sole counsel in two cases in Court of Appeal, led by Jonathan Hall QC in Supreme Court).
- Joined cases C-165/14 and 304/14 CS (*Morocco*) v. *Secretary of State for the Home Department and Rendon Marin v. Spain* - sole counsel for the United Kingdom in the CJEU, references on the application of the *Zambrano* principle in cases of serious criminality.
- *Singh and Khalid v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2015] EWCA Civ 74, [2015] Imm AR 704 - leading case on transitional provisions and the Immigration Rules.
- *Patel v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2013] UKSC 72, [2014] AC 651 - leading case on the “enforcement gap” and immigration appeal rights (led by Jonathan Swift QC).
- *R (FI) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2014] EWCA Civ 1272, [2014] HRLR 30 - challenge to compatibility of the domestic regime of training on the use of restraint on aircraft removals and refusal to disclose the domestic training manual (led by James Eadie QC).
- *Chaudhry v. United Kingdom*, application no. 17489/12 - sole counsel for the United Kingdom in the European Court of Human Rights, challenge to the compatibility of domestic immigration appeal rights with Article 13.
- *JD (Congo) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2012] EWCA Civ 327, [2012] Imm AR 719, [2012] INLR 412, *The Times*, 28 May 2012 - leading case on the second appeals test where an appellant has won before the First-tier Tribunal and lost in the Upper Tribunal.
- *R (SG (Iraq)) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2012] EWCA Civ 940, [2013] 1 WLR 41 - leading case on the jurisdiction to grant stays pending appeal in country guidance determinations.
- David has also appeared in a number of Country Guidance cases in the Upper Tribunal (Immigration and Asylum Chamber):
 - *AA (Article 15(c)) Iraq* CG [2015] UKUT 00544 (IAC) - current country guidance on Article 15(c) and Iraq.
 - *BM and others (returnees - criminal and non-criminal)* CG [2015] UKUT 00293 - current country guidance on returns to the DRC, both criminal deportees and non-criminal returns, including consideration of comments made by DRC Ambassador in London (led by Nathalie Lieven QC).
 - *AK (Article 15(c)) Afghanistan* CG [2012] UKUT 000163 (IAC) - application of Article 15(c) of the Qualification Directive to Afghanistan.
 - *AA (unattended children) Afghanistan v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* CG [2012] UKUT 00016 (IAC) - whether section 55 of the Borders, Citizenship and Immigration Act 2009 can determine whether a child is a refugee, application of Article 15(c) of the Qualification Directive to unaccompanied children in Afghanistan.
 - *HM and others (Article 15(c)) Iraq* CG [2010] UKUT 331 (IAC) - application of Article 15(c) of the Qualification Directive to

Iraq.

- *GS* (Article 15(c): indiscriminate violence) Afghanistan CG [2009] UKAIT 00044 – application of Article 15(c) of the Qualification Directive to Afghanistan.
- *AM and BM* (Trafficked women) Albania CG [2010] UKUT 80 (IAC) – protection for former victims of human trafficking in Albania.
- Current significant cases in which David is involved include:
- Case C-573/14 *Lounani* – challenge to the operation of the exclusion clause in Art 12(2)(c) of the Qualification Directive to a Moroccan convicted of terrorism offences in Belgium (hearing before the CJEU on 16 February 2016).
- *R (Galdikas) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department and Secretary of State for Work and Pensions* – challenge to the application of the “genuine prospect of work test” to victims of trafficking.
- *R (Dan) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* – challenge to the legality of the Government’s flagship regime of “deport first, appeal later” regime under reg. 24AA of the Immigration (European Economic Area) Regulations 2006.
- Prior to commencing practice, David completed a six-month internship with the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, London Office, in the Legal Protection Department, where he was involved in drafting the organisation’s commentary to the Nationality, Immigration and Asylum Act 2002. At the same time as working at UNHCR, David worked as a part-time legal researcher at the Medical Foundation for the Care of Victims of Torture.

International Law

David has considerable experience of advice and litigation in public international law. He has particular expertise on the UN Refugee Convention, the Council of Europe Convention on Action Against Trafficking in Human Beings, the UNECE Aarhus Convention on access to environmental justice, the UNECE Espoo Convention and the Kiev Protocol on environmental assessment in the transboundary context, as well as public international law issues arising out of their application and interpretation.

In addition, David has experience of the law of other commonwealth jurisdictions, most recently, the Turks and Caicos Islands (planning law) and Kenya (national security).

In the UK courts, David is regularly involved in cases raising issues of public international law, in particular in the national security field.

Amongst the recent significant cases in this area in which David has been involved are the following:

- Advising the Attorney General of the Turks and Caicos Islands on a possible appeal to the Turks and Caicos Court of Appeal against a decision of the Chief Justice declaring amendments to the Development Manual to be *ultra vires*.
- *In the matter of the Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission* [2015] NIQB 96 – acted for the Commission, in its successful challenge to the legality of the prohibition on abortion in Northern Ireland in cases of rape, incest and serious foetal abnormality (led by Nathalie Lieven QC). The case involved detailed arguments on the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the United Nations Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment and Punishment, the Council of Europe European Social Charter and Resolution 1607 (2008) and the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and the extent to which those Treaties could be relied on in the domestic courts.
- *Lawrence, Nabil, Nasser v. Attorney General* – High Court civil damages action against the Attorney General alleging complicity in torture abroad by the security services (led by Lisa Giovannetti QC). The claim raises significant issues concerning foreign act of state and state immunity, and is currently stayed behind the leading case of *Belhaj v. Straw* in the Supreme Court.
- *R (Galdikas) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department and Secretary of State for Work and Pensions* – challenge to the application of the “genuine prospect of work test” to victims of trafficking. The case involves detailed arguments concerning the Council of Europe Convention on Trafficking and the extent to which it can be relied on in the domestic courts.
- *Abdul v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* – leading case on Article 24(2) of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights in the context of deportation and family splits.
- *AM and BM* (Trafficked women) Albania CG [2010] UKUT 80 (IAC) – the case remains the leading examination of the domestic role in immigration cases of the Palermo Protocol on trafficking and the Council of European Convention on Trafficking.

In addition to practising in this field, David has also published on matters concerning international law. He is the author of the chapter on access to environmental information in Banner, *The Aarhus Convention: A Guide for UK Lawyers* (2015), Hart. He has lectured extensively in foreign jurisdictions on matters of international law, including international money laundering, fair trial rights, the right to liberty, human trafficking and terrorism. With Lord Slynn of Hadley, he delivered lectures to the senior judiciary of the Czech Republic and Zambia in 2003 and 2005. He delivered ECHR training on behalf of the Council of Europe to the judiciary in Turkey and Albania in 2006 and 2007.

Planning

David has appeared in a number of significant cases in the planning sphere. These include:

- *R (West Berkshire DC) v. Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government* [2015] EWHC 2222 (Admin), [2015] BLGR 884, [2016] JPL 35 – high profile challenge to the written ministerial statement on affordable housing – currently on appeal to the Court of Appeal (led by Richard Drabble QC).
- *R (DC21 Ltd) v. Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government* – counsel to the Secretary of State in successful defence of challenge to the written ministerial statement on onshore wind policy, which implemented an important election manifesto pledge.
- *R (FCC Environment (UK) Ltd) v. Secretary of State for Energy and Climate Change* [2015] EWCA Civ 55, [2015] Env LR 22 – counsel to the Secretary of State in challenge to the compatibility of special parliamentary procedure with the EIA Directive.
- *R (Moore) v. Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government* [2015] EWHC 44 (Admin), [2015] BLGR 405, [2015] JPL 762, [2015] PTSR D14 – challenge to the legality of the Secretary of State’s recovery policy in gypsy and traveller appeals (led by Rupert Warren QC).
- *R (Gleeson Developments Ltd) v. Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government* [2014] EWCA Civ 1118, [2014] PTSR 1226, [2014] JPL 1386 – high profile challenge to the Secretary of State’s exercise of his recovery jurisdiction following the promulgation of an appeal determination by an Inspector (led by John Litton QC).
- *R (Vale of White Horse DC) v. Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government* [2009] EWHC 1847 (Admin) – guidance on the correct approach to the interpretation of policy in planning cases.
- *Bovale v. Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government* [2008] EWHC 2143 (Admin) – guidance on the provision of defences and evidence by defendants in s288 challenges.
- *R (on the application of Wates) v. Surrey County Council* [2008] EWHC 706 (Admin) – Green Belts and very special circumstances.
- *Satnam Millenium v. Warrington BC* [2007] EWHC 2648 (Admin), [2008] JPL 763 – Green Belts and UDPs.

In 2015, he was named as one of the top 50 planning barristers in the country in the annual Planning Magazine survey. In April 2009, the same survey named him in the Top 10 planning barristers under 35.

As well as practising in this area, David edits (with David Elvin QC) those parts of the Planning Encyclopedia dealing with EIA, SEA, Habitats and Birds Directives, as well as validity, human rights and EC law. He is the author of the chapter on access to environmental information in Banner, *The Aarhus Convention: A Guide for UK Lawyers* (2015), Hart.

Environmental Law

David has a wide-ranging environmental law practice. He frequently advises on, and appears in cases relating to, all aspects of EIA, SEA, the Habitats Directive and the Birds Directive. David is currently advising the Government in relation to infraction proceedings in the Court of Justice of the European Union.

Significant environmental law cases in which David has appeared include:

- *R (DC21 Ltd) v. Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government* – counsel to the Secretary of State in successful defence of challenge to the written ministerial statement on onshore wind policy, which implemented an important election manifesto pledge.
- *R (FCC Environment (UK) Ltd) v. Secretary of State for Energy and Climate Change* [2015] EWCA Civ 55, [2015] Env LR 22 – counsel to the Secretary of State in challenge to the compatibility of special parliamentary procedure with the EIA Directive.
- *R (Greenpeace) v. Secretary of State for Energy and Climate Change* – acted as junior in successful defence of the Secretary of State’s National Planning Statement on the construction of new nuclear power stations.
- *City and District of St Albans v. Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government* [2009] EWHC 1280 (Admin), [2010] JPL 70 – challenge to the East of England RSS on SEA grounds.
- *R (on the application of Davies) v. Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government* [2008] EWHC 2223 (Admin) – EIA, publication and consultation on additional material produced during an inquiry.
- *R (on the application of the Noble Group) v. Thanet DC* [2005] EWCA Civ 782, [2006] Env LR 8 – EIA, screening and collateral challenges.

David has a particularly busy advisory practice in the environmental sphere, which includes environmental issues relating to nuclear power stations, fracking, offshore oil and gas, waste and recycling issues for local authorities and responses to requests under the Environmental Information Regulations regime. He regularly advises in relation to SEA, Habitats and Birds Directives issues.

As well as practising in this area, David edits (with David Elvin QC) those parts of the Planning Encyclopedia dealing with EIA, SEA, Habitats and Birds Directives, as well as validity, human rights and EC law. He is the author of the chapter on access to environmental information in Banner, *The Aarhus Convention: A Guide for UK Lawyers* (2015), Hart. He is a member of UKELA.

Community Care and Social Services

David regularly advises claimants, public bodies, interested parties and charities on the law of social services and community care. He has been involved in a number of test cases challenging central and local government policies on access to benefits in this field.

Among the most significant cases in which David has been involved in this field are the following:

- *R (Project 17) v. Lewisham LBC* – counsel to the Claimant in challenge to the legality of Lewisham LBC’s policy on the provision of support under section 17 of the Children Act 1989 (led by Nathalie Lieven QC, due to be heard on 20 and 21 January 2016).
- *R (SN and LS) v. Secretary of State for Work and Pensions* – challenge to the exclusion of *Zambrano* carers from mainstream benefits and the extent of their ability to rely on local authority support under section 17 of the Children Act 1989 (led by Richard Drabble QC). Currently stayed behind similar proceedings in the Supreme Court.
- *R (Cotton) v. Secretary of State for Work and Pensions* [2014] EWHC 3437 (Admin), [2015] ACD 20 – counsel to the Claimants in challenge to the legality of the bedroom tax (led by Nathalie Lieven QC).
- *R (Sanchez) v. King’s College Hospital NHS Trust* and *R (Sanchez) v. Greenwich LBC* – challenges to funding of NHS care for residents without leave to remain and also to decisions on local authority support under section 21 of the National Assistance Act 1948.
- *R (Galdikas) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department and Secretary of State for Work and Pensions* – challenge to the application of the “genuine prospect of work test” to victims of trafficking. The case involves an examination of the intricate domestic framework of social security and social services support for victims of trafficking.

In addition, David regularly advises claimants on challenges to the refusal to support under section 17 of the Children Act 1989. His work in this area often involves difficult related questions about immigration status.

Qualifications

David studied Modern Languages (French and German) at Trinity College, Cambridge, and the Ecole Normale Supérieure in Paris. He then undertook (also at Trinity) an M.Phil in International Relations, writing a thesis on minority rights in the EU accession process, with particular focus on the Czech Republic and Slovakia. Whilst at Cambridge, in addition to being awarded a number of college scholarships, David was awarded scholarships by the German and Czech Governments for academic work abroad.

He studied law at City University, where he was later awarded an MA in Law on the basis of a dissertation on material error of fact as a ground of judicial review. He was later a Visiting Lecturer in European Community law at City University. He was a Major Scholar of the Inner Temple, Inner Temple Bursary holder and Bar Council Stage Scholar. David is fluent in French, and has good German, basic Czech and Slovak and is learning Farsi.

Recommendations

Legal 500 2022, Civil Liberties; ‘David is extremely knowledgeable in the areas of international human rights and EU law and has provided solid written and oral advice in respect of complex and politically sensitive litigation.’

Legal 500 2022, Immigration: ‘He is a fair, careful and also formidable opponent. His judgment – which points to press, which to concede – is excellent.’

Legal 500 2022, Planning: ‘David is outstanding: a brilliant advocate, both in court and on paper; extremely clever; he has outstanding judgement and is a pleasure to work with.’

Legal 500 2022, Local Government (including Rating Law): ‘Deep knowledge of the law coupled with a forensic mind.’

Legal 500 2022, Environment: ‘He is brilliant and is surely on his way to becoming one of the best public law silks.’

Legal 500 2022, Administrative & Public Law: ‘Deep knowledge of the law. Forensic mind. Very polite and collegiate.’

Legal 500 2022, Court of Protection and Community Care: 'David is outstanding: a brilliant advocate, who is extremely clever, and has excellent judgement.'

Legal 500 2022, European Union Law: "[a] QC of choice for the government in challenges to the EU settlement scheme."

Chambers & Partners 2021, Administrative & Public Law: "Judges like him and trust him, and respond well to him, and he has a really nice way of engaging with people and explaining complicated concepts. His advices are really easy to read and easy to give to clients." "A joy to work with and a long overdue silk."

Chambers & Partners 2021, Civil Liberties & Human Rights: "He's excellent with clients and his research is fantastic."

Chambers & Partners 2021, Immigration: "He is great: affable, very effective and very organised." "He is a very safe pair of hands with very good judgement."

Chambers & Partners 2021, Local Government: "He can get to grips with matters quickly and can spell them out in a sensible, practical way that his clients can understand." "He's no-nonsense, very knowledgeable and fantastic with clients." "A very calm and measured advocate."

Chambers & Partners 2021, European Law: "He is affable, and very effective and organised."

Chambers & Partners 2021, Community Care: "He is incredibly clever and judges love him."

Legal 500 2021, Administrative & Public Law: 'Deep knowledge of the law. Forensic mind. Very polite and collegiate.'

Legal 500 2021, Civil Liberties & Human Rights: 'A new silk who definitely should've been made a silk some years earlier. He is willing to take on difficult points for the government.'

Legal 500 2021, Planning: 'A great mind and well across complex briefs, a real team player.'

Legal 500 2021, Local Government: ' Deep knowledge of the law coupled with a forensic mind.'

Legal 500 2021, Immigration: 'Excellent, top quality. He is willing to take on difficult points for the government.'

Legal 500 2021, European Union Law: 'His advocacy is accurate, well-researched and insightful.'

Legal 500 2021, Court of Protection and Community Care: 'Brilliantly clever, kind, thoughtful, respected by judges and opponents, fair and a phenomenal advocate.'

Chambers & Partners 2018, Administrative Law: "Exceptionally bright, with expert knowledge and understanding of public law issues. He is diligent, his advice is always considered and his drafting skills are second to none." "A brilliant academic and incisive mind. He is also a lovely guy."

Chambers & Partners 2018, Immigration Law: "His drafting is very good, always on time, and he has a great knowledge of the law." "He is clever, sees the points, and he gets things into a logical order."

Chambers & Partners 2018, EU Law: "He is at the forefront of free movement cases. Blundell is respected by clients and a joy to work with."

Chambers & Partners 2018, Community Care: "He is ingenious in his arguments, as well as very clever, very personable and incredibly hard-working."

Chambers & Partners 2018, Local Government Law: "He is charming and brilliant."

Legal 500 2017, Administrative and Public Law: "An outstanding advocate, whose work is compelling and immaculately researched."

Legal 500 2017, Civil Liberties and Human Rights: "His work easily outstrips more senior barristers."

Legal 500 2017, EU Law: "His work outstrips that produced by some silks."

Legal 500 2017, Immigration Law: "One of the top juniors, who is bound to go very far."

Chambers & Partners 2017, Administrative Law: "He is highly impressive. Thorough, knowledgeable, has excellent judgement and is very good with clients." "His ability to identify and exploit unique arguments is excellent."

Chambers & Partners 2017, Immigration Law: "Well respected by peers and clients alike, he sits on the Attorney General's A Panel and regularly appears in the highest domestic and overseas courts, including the Supreme Court, ECHR and ECJ. He demonstrates notable skill in EU law-related cases." "He is an extraordinarily impressive advocate." "An outstanding junior: he is

totally reliable and dedicated to his cases.”

Chambers & Partners 2017, EU Law: “An expert in all aspects of EU law.” “His ability to identify key points and advance unique arguments is impressive.”

Chambers & Partners 2017, Community Care: “An experienced public lawyer who acts for claimants, defendants and interested parties. He is particularly adept at handling cases challenging policies on access to benefits.” “David is approachable and enthusiastic. His written work is excellent and he is an expert in European law.” “He is very clever, extremely nice and formidable in court.”

Chambers & Partners: Local Government Law: “Acts for both sides in complex local authority disputes and is a seasoned advisor to government departments in a range of civil and EU matters. He is a particularly experienced representative of clients at the upper levels of the UK judicial system, as well as the European Court of Human Rights and the European Court of Justice.” “Incredibly clever, very thorough and very good in terms of drafting. He has a notable sympathy for the client and just gets it. He is easy to work with and highly responsive.”

Legal 500 2016, Administrative and Public Law – “A top-quality lawyer and an excellent communicator.”

Legal 500 2016, Civil Liberties and Human Rights – “Extremely bright, and his written and oral arguments are always commendably clear and focused.”

Legal 500 2016, Immigration – “He represents both claimants and the government in EU law and refugee matters.”

Chambers & Partners 2016, Administrative Law – “He’s a solid advocate and barrister who is very thoughtful and precise and produces great written work.” “He’s particularly good at understanding what we need as solicitors. He’s always user-friendly and happy to help.”

Chambers & Partners 2016, Immigration Law – “A “high-class” practitioner who has deep experience of advocacy before the highest courts, both domestically and abroad, on behalf of government and claimants. He is regarded as one of the go-to experts for human rights and EU law.” “When you look at important immigration cases, he is the young barrister of choice.”

Chambers & Partners 2016, Local Government Law – “A solid advocate and barrister who is very thoughtful and precise, and produces great written work.” “A real all-rounder who has gained a strong reputation in Administrative Court work.”

Legal 500 2015, Administrative Law – “Combines excellent judgement with solid all-round skills across a wide array of public law matters.”

Legal 500 2015, Civil Liberties and Human Rights – “He has in-depth knowledge of the law and first-class drafting skills.”

Legal 500 2015, Immigration Law – “He takes a common-sense approach which is focused on the client’s needs and priorities.”

Chambers & Partners 2015, Administrative Law – “In cases that have an overlap of public law and immigration, he is very solid and has great judgement.”

Chambers & Partners 2015, Immigration Law – “Straight and decent, he’s very fair and a skilled barrister. Briefed by the government in a wide range of cases, he is always a pleasant person to work with. A really fantastic junior, who is hard-working and gets the job done.”

Legal 500 2014, Administrative Law – “A bright barrister with an excellent feel for the strength of a claim and how it will be received in court.”

Legal 500 2014, Immigration Law – “Acts for both claimants and defendants.”

Chambers & Partners 2014, Administrative Law – “He is exceptionally bright, has solid judgement, and is extremely hard-working.”

Chambers & Partners 2014, Immigration Law – “Known for doing government work in this area.” “He is very good and well liked by solicitors.”

Chambers & Partners 2013 – David Blundell “embodies that most often sought-after combination in a public law counsel: a quick legal mind and attention to detail”. He offers “practical, thoughtful and prompt advice”.

Ranked as a leading junior in the Legal 500 in 2010, 2011 and 2012. Legal 500 2012: “enthusiastic and approachable”.

Ranked in the top 50 planning barristers in the Planning Magazine’s 2015 annual survey. Ranked in the top 10 planning barristers under 35 in its 2009 survey.

Publications

Books

- Author of the chapter on access to environmental information in Banner, *The Aarhus Convention: A Guide for UK Lawyers* (2015), Hart Publishing
- Author of the human rights chapter in Bickford-Smith and Francis *Rights of Light: The Modern Law* (2007) 2nd Ed., Jordan Publishing
- Updated the Social Services chapter of Drabble, Maurici and Buley *Local Authorities and the Human Rights Act* (2004), Blackstone Press

Published articles

- "Top 10 Public Law Cases 2015-2016" [2016] JR Vol 21, Issue 3."
- "Irrationality", "Habeas corpus" and "Legitimate expectation": author of articles in Westlaw's Insight online legal encyclopedia (regularly updated)
- "*Ultra Vires* Legitimate Expectations" [2005] JR Vol 10, Issue 2
- "Material Error of Fact: Where Are We Now?" [2004] JR Vol 9, Issue 1
- "Surinder Singh and the Immigration (European Economic Area) Regulations 2000: A Step in the Wrong Direction?", *Columbia Journal of European Law*, August 2002

Editorial work

- Joint Editor of *Judicial Review* (with David Lock QC), Routledge
- Editor of the *Planning Encyclopedia* (with David Elvin QC), Sweet & Maxwell
- Case digester for the *Administrative Court Digest*, 2002-2011

No-nonsense, very knowledgeable and fantastic with clients

Chambers & Partners

Cases

14 Oct 2021	High Court finds Secretary of State acted unlawfully in failing to ensure expeditious availability of abortion in Northern Ireland
20 Jul 2021	International travel Green-Amber-Red list system found lawful by High Court
05 Jul 2021	International travel traffic-light system to be challenged in High Court this week
26 May 2021	Northern Ireland Abortion Challenge Heard in High Court
02 Mar 2021	Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission challenges government over lack of abortion services in Northern Ireland
17 Oct 2019	Heathrow expansion judicial review hearings to begin in the Court of Appeal
13 Mar 2019	Supreme Court hears important case about proportionality and EU law
14 May 2018	CJEU Grand Chamber hears conjoined claims on Procedures Directive and Dublin III Regulation
17 Nov 2017	CJEU Grand Chamber delivers landmark judgment in case about the retained rights of EU dual national citizens

07 Nov 2017	Court of Appeal hears discrimination challenge to the bereavement damages regime
07 Nov 2017	Court of Appeal hands down important judgment on proportionality and EU law
08 Sep 2017	Airports NPS Update
23 Jun 2017	Advocate General Sharpston delivers Opinion in important case about burden sharing arrangements for asylum claims
23 May 2017	CJEU Grand Chamber hears important case about the retained rights of EU dual national citizens
04 May 2017	Important Judgment on the application of rule 353 of the Immigration Rules to Human Rights and Protection Claims
25 Apr 2017	CJEU Grand Chamber hears important case about burden sharing arrangements for asylum claims
07 Apr 2017	CS (Morocco) returns to the Upper Tribunal from the CJEU
14 Mar 2017	Advocate General Bot delivers Opinion in CJEU case on detention pursuant to the Dublin III Regulation
14 Mar 2017	CJEU delivers judgment on the Dublin III Regulation and discretionary decisions
30 Jan 2017	[2017] EWHC 121 (Admin): Airports judicial review struck out
20 Oct 2016	High Court dismisses judicial review challenge to land access authorisation for Sizewell C surveys
29 Jul 2016	Court of Justice hands down judgment in European Arrest Warrant case under urgent procedure
28 Jul 2016	Divisional Court hands down judgment on existence of Royal Prerogative in terrorism cases
07 Jul 2016	Divisional Court hears argument on continuing existence of the Royal Prerogative
06 Jul 2016	High Court hands down judgment on scope of ZZ (France) disclosure obligations in Royal Prerogative passport case
29 Apr 2016	High Court delivers judgment in important EU human trafficking case
01 Mar 2016	Affordable Housing Contributions: West Berks in the Court of Appeal
30 Nov 2015	Landmark barristers win ground-breaking Northern Irish abortion rights challenge
24 Jun 2015	Supreme Court delivers important judgment on EU law, judicial review and the Rashid principle
21 May 2015	Court of Appeal upholds legality of enforcement gap policy
20 May 2015	Tribunal hears country guidance case about Iraq and ISIS
23 Apr 2015	Court of Appeal gives judgment in important case on the scope of the Zambrano principle
22 Oct 2014	R (Cotton and others) v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions [2014] EWHC 3437 (Admin)
09 Oct 2014	Court of Appeal upholds legality of removal regime in Article 2 challenge
10 Jul 2014	R (Gleeson Developments Ltd) v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2014] P.T.S.R. 1226
20 Nov 2013	Supreme Court hands down judgment in leading case on appeal rights

21 Oct 2013	R (Gleeson Homes Ltd) v (1) Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government and (2) The Planning Inspectorate [2014] P.T.S.R. 135
16 Mar 2012	JD (Congo) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department [2012] 1 W.L.R. 3273
01 Aug 2011	R (on the application of Shoemith) v OFSTED and others
23 Feb 2011	R (Daley-Murdock) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department [2011] EWCA Civ 161, The Times, 2 March 2011
23 Feb 2011	R (Mirza) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department [2011] EWCA Civ 159, The Times, 2 March 2011
01 Oct 2010	R (SO) v. Barking and Dagenham LBC [2010] EWCA Civ 1101
01 Sep 2010	HM and others (Article 15(c)) Iraq CG [2010] UKUT 331 (IAC)
01 Jul 2010	R (ZA (Nigeria) and SM (DRC)) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department [2010] EWCA Civ 926
19 Apr 2010	R (WJ) (China) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department [2010] EWHC 776 (Admin)
01 Mar 2010	R (Wiles) v. Social Security Commissioner [2010] EWCA Civ 258
01 Mar 2010	AM and BM (Trafficked women) Albania CG [2010] UKUT 80 (IAC)
01 Dec 2009	Pedro v. Secretary of State for Work and Pensions [2009] EWCA Civ 1358, [2010] 2 CMLR 20
06 Nov 2009	R (on the application of Hayes) v. Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government
01 Nov 2009	R (Hayes) v. Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2009] EWHC 3520 (Admin)
01 Oct 2009	GS (Article 15(c): indiscriminate violence) Afghanistan CG [2009] UKAIT 00044
01 Sep 2009	R (S) v. Social Security Commissioner [2009] EWHC 2221 (Admin), [2009] 12 CCL Rep 654
01 Jul 2009	R (Vale of White Horse DC) v. Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2009] EWHC 1847 (Admin)
01 May 2009	City and District of St Albans v. Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2009] EWHC 1280 (Admin), [2010] JPL 70
12 Dec 2008	R (on the application of Lenin) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2008] EWHC 2968 (Admin)
01 Sep 2008	Bovale v SSCLG [2008] EWHC 2143 (Admin)
12 Aug 2006	Judicial Review sought by Fladgate Fielder regarding Westminster Council's Decision to grant planning consent to a Land Sec project
09 Nov 2005	R (on the application of Axon) v. Secretary of State for Health

Publications

01 May 2020	The Presumption In Favour Of Sustainable Development
01 May 2020	NPPF Case Law Update - Webinar

27 Jan 2020	Secondary Legislation And EU Withdrawal
28 Nov 2018	Zambrano, Lounes and Citizenship Rights: Where are we now?
11 Jun 2014	NHS Charging and Eligibility: The position of overseas visitors
08 Feb 2013	The Influence of Aarhus on Domestic and EU Law
28 Jun 2010	Judicial Review - Public Law Update
11 May 2009	Defending and Avoiding High Court Planning Challenges - High Court Procedures and Practical Tips
