



Christopher Jacobs

Call: 1994

Email: cjacobs@landmarkchambers.co.uk

Practice Manager

Ben Connor

Email: bconnor@landmarkchambers.co.uk

Phone: **020 7421 2483**

Neil Perry

Email: nperry@landmarkchambers.co.uk

Phone: **0207 421 1303**

Zoe Bluck

Email: zbluck@landmarkchambers.co.uk

Phone: **020 7421 1301**

Thomas Belcher

Email: tbelcher@landmarkchambers.co.uk

Phone: **020 7421 2487**

Expertise

Planning, Property, Public, Environment, International

Overview

Christopher is an experienced public and property law barrister, who has acted in numerous leading cases. He advises and represents litigants at all levels from the Supreme Court to the First Tier Tribunal.

He has particular expertise in judicial review actions and public inquiries in the field of public law.

In property law, Christopher has acted in a number of cases involving water courses and riparian rights.

In planning law matters Christopher has acted in a number of significant public inquiries dealing with compulsory purchase orders and urban regeneration schemes.

More information on specific practice areas can be found under the 'Expertise' tab.

Property Law

Christopher is a member of the Property Bar Association.

Christopher specialises in Water Courses and Riparian rights cases.

Christopher has advised extensively in the following areas:

- Legal duties and obligations in relation to Ports
- Coastal erosion
- Commercial leases
- Boundary disputes
- Easements
- Adverse possession
- Injunctions
- Rights of light and remedies
- Highways

Christopher's recent cases include:

***Bernel Ltd v Canal and River Trust* [2021] EWHC 16 (Ch)** in which the court accepted that riparian rights can derive from artificially piped water and considered whether a claimed culverted natural watercourse was a land drain or sewer. The court also considered the acquisition of prescriptive rights to drain land by way of easement.

***Hounslow LBC v Devere* [2018] EWHC 1447 (Ch). [2018] L.L.R. 764.** The Court considered the legal character of River Works and held that Vessel owners had trespassed onto local authority land when mooring their vessels to posts holding up a riverside walkway. However, they had not interfered with the local authority's rights when mooring their vessels to structures which were sunk into the river bed.

***Port of London Authority v Mendoza* [2017] UKUT 146 (TCC)** – whether the act of mooring a boat was insufficient, by itself, to evidence an intention by the boat owner to take adverse possession of the river bed beneath the boat and whether it was possible to acquire title through adverse possession to the bed of a river that was subject to public navigation rights.

In 2015 he represented the successful Respondent in the Property Tribunal in a dispute with the Port of London Authority over whether PLA was entitled to register land above Mean High Water on the Thames riverbank, ***Morlandia v PLA***.

Christopher has advised owners of beaches and sea front land and local authorities in relation to proceedings concerning coastal erosion. He also advises in cases involving boundary dispute issues in relation to riparian land.

Christopher's reported property cases include:

***Constandas v Lysandrou* [2018] EWCA Civ 613.** Christopher acted for the successful Respondent in the Court of Appeal, which reviewed the test for whether a judge was entitled to resort to the burden of proof when considering a disputed claim as to beneficial ownership of a property.

***Ofulue v Bossert* [2009] 2 WLR 749** (House of Lords, adverse possession, acknowledgment of title)

***Broomleigh Housing Association Ltd v Okonkwo* [2011] C.P. Rep. 4 [2010], EWCA Civ 1113** (Civil procedure – Enforcement of costs orders after possession proceedings – making of a committal order under CPR r.71.8).

Judicial Review Claims

Christopher is a judicial review specialist. He has developed an expertise in planning and property related claims.

Christopher has developed an expertise in community care law.

Christopher frequently represents local authorities in judicial claims brought against them and regularly advises local authorities on public law related matters.

In recent months Christopher has been advising a number of businesses in relation to potential challenges arising as a consequence of the arrangements put into place by the Government as a consequence of the United Kingdom's departure from the European Union.

Recent cases in which Christopher has acted include:

R (on the application of Paling v (1) Ipswich Magistrates' Court (2) Mid-Suffolk District Council [2021]. Christopher represented a local authority in a challenge concerning the fairness of a hearing before magistrates. The application was heard on 20 July 2021 and judgment is awaited.

(on the application of Granger-Taylor) v High Speed Two (HS2) Ltd [2020] EWHC 1442 (Admin). The Court considered a challenge to the HS2 Scheme based on inherently dangerous engineering operations in the Euston area and whether the decision to proceed amounted to a justiciable breach of the rights guaranteed to a nearby home owner under Article 8 ECHR and Protocol 1. Article 1.

(on the application of Seventeen De Vere Gardens (Management) Ltd v Kensington and Chelsea RLBC [2016] EWHC 2869 (Admin). Christopher acted for the successful claimant management company in a case where a Planning Applications Committee had unlawfully declined to determine a revised application for retrospective planning permission which was subject to a pre-existing enforcement notice.

R. (on the application of Watt) v Hackney LBC [2016] EWHC 1978 (Admin) [2017] J.P.L. 192 [2016] A.C.D. 115 [2017] C.L.Y. 1754. Christopher acted for the successful Claimant in a challenge to a grant of planning permission where a daylight assessment before a planning committee had contained material errors of fact.

R (Traveller Movement) v Office of Communications [2015] EWHC 406 (Admin) - a challenge to Ofcom's decision not to uphold a complaint that Channel 4 had breached broadcasting standards in relation to the TV programmes *My Big Fat Gypsy Wedding* and *Thelma's Gypsy Girls*.

Venn v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2014] EWCA Civ 1539 | [2015] 1 W.L.R. 2328 | [2014] 11 WLUK 778 | [2015] C.P. Rep. 12 | [2015] 1 C.M.L.R. 52 | [2015] Env. L.R. 14 | [2015] J.P.L. 573. Christopher was led by Richard Drabble QC in an important appeal (having acted for the Claimant at first instance) in relation to the scope under CPR r.45.41 of the availability of protective costs orders for environmental cases falling within the Aarhus Convention 2001

Approximately 40% of cases in which Christopher has drafted letters before claim or grounds for judicial review have resulted in Respondents conceding at the pre-action, pre-permission or post permission stages of the claim.

Public Inquiries

Christopher's Public Inquiry work includes:

- **The Independent Inquiry into Child Sexual Abuse (IICSA)** - Between 2018 and 2020 Christopher represented over 60 core participants in IICSA, which was the largest ever Public Inquiry in England and Wales.

Christopher represented core participants (victims and survivors, whistleblowers and senior members of staff within local authorities) in the following strands of the IICSA Inquiry.

Nottinghamshire Councils Investigation

Roman Catholic Church - Archdiocese of Birmingham Investigation

Accountability and Reparations Investigation phase 1

Roman Catholic Church. English Benedictine Congregation and Ealing Abbey

Residential Schools Investigation

Accountability and Reparations Investigation phase 2.

Roman Catholic Church - Wider Investigation

Lambeth Council Investigation

Organised Networks Investigation.

Allegations of child sexual abuse involving the late Lord Janner of Braunstone

- **Post Office Horizon IT Public Inquiry**

Since March 2021 Christopher has advised members of Justice for Sub-postmasters Alliance in relation to judicial review action concerning a March 2021 refusal by the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy to reconstitute the non-statutory Horizon IT inquiry into a full statutory inquiry. Following the decision of the Government to place the Inquiry on a statutory basis, Christopher has been instructed as counsel for over 130 sub-postmasters and affected core participants.

Christopher has conducted seminars and advised institutions on the criteria for Core Participant status in the forthcoming Covid-19 Public Inquiry.

Immigration

Christopher is an experienced leading junior in immigration and asylum cases. He has particular expertise in judicial review and has acted in a number of leading cases:

Binbuga v SSHD [2019] EWCA Civ 551. Christopher acted for the appellant in a case in which the Court of Appeal considered the impact of social environment and upbringing on the 'social and cultural integration' test in deportation cases.

Roszkowski v SSHD [2017] EWCA Civ 1893; [2018] 1 W.L.R. 2848; [2018] 2 All E.R. 878; [2018]. Christopher represented, as leading counsel, the successful appellant in the Court of Appeal where the Court reviewed the lawfulness of the detention of an EU national deportee following the Secretary of State's refusal to release after a grant of bail.

(on the application of BS) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2018] EWHC 454 (Admin). Christopher acted for the successful Claimant in an unlawful detention judicial review claim where the continued detention for two months of an Indian national identified as a victim of torture, while waiting for verification of an emergency travel document application had been unlawful, in particular because SSHD had failed to act with reasonable diligence.

MSM (Somalia) v SSHD (UNHCR intervening) [2016] EWCA Civ 715. Christopher represented, as leading counsel, the successful appellant asylum seeker in the Court of Appeal, which ruled that the principle in HJ (Iran) applies in cases where a fear of persecution arises from imputed political opinion.

Gurung & others v SSHD [2013] 1 W.L.R. 2546, [2013] I.N.L.R. 634, [2013] EWCA Civ 8, in which Christopher represented one of a number of successful dependent children of members of Her Majesty's Brigade of Gurkhas in the Court of Appeal. The appellants were successful on an historic injustice Article 8 ECHR argument when appealing against decisions to refuse them entry to the United Kingdom in line with their parents.

Christopher has appeared as junior counsel in the House of Lords and Supreme Court on eight occasions including ***DD v SSHD [2012] UKSC 54; [2012] 3 W.L.R. 1263;*** in relation to Article 1F(C) of the Refugee Convention and international armed conflicts and ***Quila and others v SSHD [2011] UKSC 45, [2011] 3 WLR 836*** (raising age limit for sponsoring spouse visa applications from 18 to 21). Christopher has also acted as sole counsel in a number of Country Guidance cases, in particular in relation to Sudan.

Planning Law

Christopher is a member of PEBA

Christopher specialises in Compulsory Purchase Public Inquiry work.

Christopher undertakes written work and advocacy in the following areas:

Compensation in compulsory purchase cases

Statutory Review applications under Section 288 TCPA 1990

Judicial reviews of grants of planning permission

Public Inquiries and appeals against planning decisions

Enforcement appeals and Inquiries.

Criminal proceedings in enforcement related matters.

He has represented objectors to large developments in the following inquiries:

Aylesbury Estate CPO 2016 - 2018

Harrow Manorway CPO 2018

Woodberry Down CPO 2018

Christopher is currently instructed by a freeholder in relation to the proposed demolition and redevelopment of the Cressingham Gardens Estate in Lambeth.

Christopher acted for a developer in the Court of Appeal in a case concerning the meaning of reserved matters in outline applications for planning permission, **Crystal Property Limited v SSCLG [2016] EWCA Civ 1265**.

Christopher acted for the successful Claimant in **R. (on the application of Seventeen De Vere Gardens (Management) Ltd) v Kensington and Chelsea RLBC [2016] EWHC 2869 (Admin)** in which a Local Planning Authority decision to decline to determine a planning application under section 70C Town and Country Planning Act 1990 quashed.

Christopher acted for the successful claimant in a judicial review of LB Hackney's decision to grant planning permission in relation to a development which concerned the application of BRE guidelines to a children's playground, **R (on the application of Watt) v Hackney LBC [2016] ACD 115**.

Christopher represented the successful claimant in **Venn v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2013] EWHC 3546 (Admin); [2014] J.P.L. 447** and appeared with Richard Drabble QC in the Secretary of State's appeal in the same case - **SSCLG v Venn [2015] 1 W.L.R. 2328 [2015] C.P. Rep. 12 [2015] 1 C.M.L.R. 52 [2015] Env. L.R. 14 [2015] J.P.L. 573 [2014] EWCA Civ 1539**. The case concerned the scope under CPR r.45.41 of the availability of protective costs orders for environmental cases falling within the Aarhus Convention 2001

In October 2011 Christopher represented the travellers at the Dale Farm site in Basildon in proceedings in the Administrative Court and in the Court of Appeal - **R (on the application of Sheridan & others) v Basildon District Council [2011] EWHC 2938 (Admin)** in relation to a judicial review of enforcement action and rights of children.

Dedicated and extremely knowledgeable

Legal 500

Cases

20 Jul 2021	R (on the application of Paling v (1) Ipswich Magistrates' Court (2) Mid-Suffolk District Council [2021]
08 Jan 2021	Bernel Ltd v Canal and River Trust [2021] EWHC 16 (Ch)
05 Jun 2020	(on the application of Granger-Taylor) v High Speed Two (HS2) Ltd [2020] EWHC 1442 (Admin)
19 Mar 2019	Binbuga v SSHD [2019] EWCA Civ 551
14 Jun 2018	Hounslow LBC v Devere [2018] EWHC 1447 (Ch). [2018] L.L.R. 764
27 Mar 2018	Constandas v Lysandrou [2018] EWCA Civ 613
08 Mar 2018	(on the application of BS) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2018] EWHC 454 (Admin)
12 Oct 2017	Roszkowski v SSHD [2017] EWCA Civ 1893; [2018] 1 W.L.R. 2848; [2018] 2 All E.R. 878; [2018]

12 Apr 2017	Port of London Authority v Mendoza [2017] UKUT 146 (TCC)
17 Dec 2016	Ghising v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2015] EWHC 3706 (QB)
09 Nov 2016	Crystal Property Limited v SSCLG [2016] EWCA Civ 1265
08 Nov 2016	(on the application of Seventeen De Vere Gardens (Management) Ltd) v Kensington and Chelsea RLBC [2016] EWHC 2869 (Admin)
08 Nov 2016	R. (on the application of Seventeen De Vere Gardens (Management) Ltd) v Kensington and Chelsea RLBC [2016] EWHC 2869 (Admin)
09 Sep 2016	R. (on the application of Watt) v Hackney LBC [2016] EWHC 1978 (Admin) [2017] J.P.L. 192 [2016] A.C.D. 115 [2017] C.L.Y. 1754
09 Sep 2016	R (on the application of Watt) v Hackney LBC [2016] ACD 115
12 Jul 2016	Secretary of State for the Home Department v MSM (Somalia) and UNHCR (Intervener) [2016] EWCA Civ 715
26 Jan 2016	R (on the application of BB (Algeria)) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2016] EWCA Civ 25
30 Apr 2015	R (on the application of Zermani) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2015] EWHC 1226 (Admin)
17 Apr 2015	R (on the application of MA (Sudan)) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2015] EWHC 1354 (Admin)
20 Feb 2015	R (Traveller Movement) v Office of Communications [2015] EWHC (Admin) 406 (Admin)
01 Jan 2015	Morlandia v PLA. 2015
18 Dec 2014	BCT v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2014] EWHC 4265 (Admin)
27 Nov 2014	Venn v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2015] 1 W.L.R. 2328 [2015] C.P. Rep. 12 [2015] 1 C.M.L.R. 52 [2015] Env. L.R. 14 [2015] J.P.L. 573
27 Nov 2014	Venn v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2015] 1 W.L.R. 2328 [2015] C.P. Rep. 12 [2015] 1 C.M.L.R. 52 [2015] Env. L.R. 14 [2015] J.P.L. 573
20 Nov 2014	R (on the application of Alemi) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2014] EWHC 3858 (Admin)
20 Aug 2014	R (on the application of Qaza) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2014] EWHC 2851 (Admin)
21 May 2014	R. (on the application of Limbuela) v Secretary of State for the Home Department Court of Appeal (Civil Division).
25 Feb 2014	Tsavdaris v Home Office [2014] EWHC 440 (QB)
09 Dec 2013	P(DRC) [2013] EWHC 3879 (Admin)
16 Oct 2013	R (Lamari) v SSHD [2013] EWHC 3130 (QB)
09 May 2013	R (on the application of Ahmed) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2013] EWHC 1536 (Admin)
07 Mar 2013	SU (Bangladesh) v Secretary of State for the Home Department
06 Feb 2013	Abdullah v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2013] EWCA Civ 42; [2013] EWCA Civ 427

21 Jan 2013	Gurung & others v SSHD [2013] EWCA Civ 8
21 Dec 2012	DD v SSHD [2012] UKSC 54; [2012] 3 W.L.R. 1263
27 Nov 2012	R (on the application of Kalumba) v Secretary of State for the Home Department
18 Oct 2012	R (on the application of KR) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2012] EWCA Civ 1555
04 Jul 2012	R (on the application of S) v First-tier Tribunal [2012] EWHC 1815 (Admin)
18 Jun 2012	R (Lamari) v SSHD [2012] EWHC 1895 (Admin)
01 Jun 2012	Bah (EO (Turkey): Liability to Deport), Re [2012] UKUT 196 (IAC); [2013] I.N.L.R. 115
20 Oct 2011	Quila and others v SSHD [2011] UKSC 45 [2011] 3 WLR 836; (2011) 108(41) LSG 15; (2011) 155(39) SJLB 31; Times
03 Oct 2011	Pun & others (Gurkhas - policy- article 8) Nepal [2011] UKUT 00377 (IAC)
02 Oct 2011	R (on the application of Sheridan & others) v Basildon District Council
01 Apr 2011	SM (Afghanistan) v Secretary of State for the Home Department. [Court of Appeal]
16 Feb 2011	R. (on the application of Aziz) v Secretary of State for the Home Department. [2011] EWHC 554 (Admin).
21 Dec 2010	Quila v Secretary of State for the Home Department 21 December 2010. [2010] EWCA Civ 1482; [2011] Fam. Law 232; Times, January 10, 2011 [Court of Appeal]
10 Dec 2010	Secretary of State for the Home Department v DD (Afghanistan) [2010] EWCA Civ 1407
29 Nov 2010	R. (on the application of K) v Secretary of State for the Home Department. [2010] EWHC 3102 (Admin)
13 Oct 2010	Broomleigh Housing Association Ltd v Okonkwo. [2010] EWCA Civ 1113; [2011] C.P. Rep. 4; [2011] H.L.R. 5; [2010] 42 E.G. 104 (C.S.); (2010) 107(41) L.S.G. 22; Times, November 18, 2010 Court of Appeal (Civil Division)
30 Jul 2010	R. (on the application of ZA (Nigeria)) v Secretary of State for the Home Department. [2010] EWCA Civ 926; [2011] 2 W.L.R. 16; [2010] Imm. A.R. 776; [2011] I.N.L.R. 78. Court of Appeal (Civil Division)
28 Jul 2010	R. (on the application of ZO (Somalia)) v Secretary of State for the Home Department. [2010] UKSC 36; [2010] 1 W.L.R. 1948; [2010] 4 All E.R. 649; [2010] I.N.L.R. 503; Times, October 25, 2010 [Supreme Court]
25 May 2010	Northamptonshire CC v Entwhistle [2010] I.R.L.R. 740.
07 May 2010	XL (China) v Secretary of State for the Home Department. [2010] EWCA Civ 575 Court of Appeal (Civil Division)
14 Dec 2009	KH (Afghanistan) v Secretary of State for the Home Department. [2009] EWCA Civ 1354; Times, January 19, 2010 Court of Appeal (Civil Division).
28 Oct 2009	AA (Saudi Arabia) v Secretary of State for the Home Department. [2009] EWCA Civ 1241
09 Sep 2009	AK (Kosovo) v Secretary of State for Home Department. [2009] EWCA Civ 1032 ; [Court of Appeal]
14 May 2009	R (on the application of Aiyegbeni) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2009] EWHC 1241 (Admin); [2010] Imm. A.R. 42; [2010] I.N.L.R. 362 . [Queen's Bench Division. Administrative Court]
28 Apr 2009	R. (on the application of Y) v Secretary of State for the Home Department 28 April 2009. [2009] EWHC 1649 (Admin); [2010].

11 Mar 2009	Ofulue v. Bossert. [2009] UKHL 16; [2009] 2 W.L.R. 749; [2009] 3 All E.R. 93; [2009] 2 P. & C.R. 17; [2009] 11 E.G. 119 (C.S.); (2009) 106(12) L.S.G. 15; (2009) 153(11) S.J.L.B. 29; [2009] N.P.C. 40; Times, March 11, 2009; [House of Lords]
03 Dec 2008	BK (Democratic Republic of Congo) v Secretary of State for the Home Department. [2008] EWCA Civ 1322 ; [Court of Appeal].
13 Nov 2008	NB (Guinea) v SSHD. [2008] EWCA 1229. [2009] Imm. A.R. 337; [2009] I.N.L.R. 269.[Court of Appeal].
29 Oct 2008	Boreh v Ealing LBC. [2008] EWCA Civ 1176; [2009] 2 All E.R. 383; [2009] H.L.R. 22; [2009] B.L.G.R. 65; (2008) 158 N.L.J. 1568; (2008) 152(42) S.J.L.B. 30; [2008] N.P.C. 114; Times, November 11, 2008 ; [Court of Appeal]
30 Jul 2008	KH (Sudan) v Secretary of State for the Home Department. [2008] EWCA Civ 887; [2009] Imm. A.R. 139. [Court of Appeal]
16 Jul 2008	Whitecap Leisure Ltd v. John H. Rundle Ltd. [2008] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 216; [2008] C.P.Rep.31; 152(18) S.J.L.B31; [2008] EWCA Civ 429; [Court of Appeal]
12 Jun 2008	JB (Sudan) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2008] EWCA Civ 766 [Court of Appeal]
10 Jun 2008	ME (Sudan) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2008] EWCA Civ 720 [Court of Appeal]
14 Mar 2008	NG (Iran) v Secretary of State for the Home Department. [2008] EWCA Civ 312 [Court of Appeal]
25 Feb 2008	R (on the application of Semere) v Asylum and Immigration Tribunal [2009] EWHC 335 (Admin) [Administrative Court]
14 Nov 2007	AH (Sudan) v SSHD. [2007] UKHL 49; [2008] 1 A.C. 678; [2007] 3 W.L.R. 832; [2008] 4 All E.R. 190; [2008] Imm. A.R. 289; [2008] I.N.L.R. 100; (2007) 151 S.J.L.B. 1500; Times, November 15, 2007 [House of Lords].
23 Aug 2007	R (Lutete) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2007] EWHC 2331 (Admin)
26 Jul 2007	PM (Jamaica) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2007] EWCA Civ 937 [Court of Appeal]
20 Feb 2007	R. (on the application of S) v Asylum and Immigration Tribunal.[2007] EWHC 426 (Admin) [Administrative Court]
22 Nov 2006	R (ota) AA (Afghanistan) v SSHD [2006] EWCA Civ 1550; [2007] A.C.D. 32; (2006) 103(47) L.S.G. 30; (2006) 150 S.J.L.B. 1570; Times, November 29, 2006
03 Apr 2006	R (ota) Mota v SSHD. [2006] EWHC 1070 Admin
15 Feb 2006	Januzi v Secretary of State for the Home Department.[2006]
08 Feb 2006	Ariaya v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2006] EWCA Civ 48; [2006] Imm. A.R. 347; Times, February 20, 2006 [Court of Appeal]
03 Nov 2005	R (ota) Limbuela & others v SSHD [2005] UKHL 66; [2006] 1 A.C. 396; [2005] 3 W.L.R. 1014; [2007] 1 All E.R. 951; [2006] H.R.L.R. 4; [2006] H.L.R. 10; (2006) 9 C.C.L. Rep. 30; (2005) 102(46) L.S.G. 25; (2005) 149 S.J.L.B. 1354; Times, November 4, 2005; [House of Lords]
15 Jul 2005	KK v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2005] EWCA Civ 1082
17 Mar 2005	Batayav v Secretary of State for the Home Department (No.2)
10 Mar 2005	R(ota) Hoxha v Special Adjudicator. [2005] UKHL 19; [2005] 1 W.L.R. 1063; [2005] 4 All E.R. 580; 19 B.H.R.C. 676; [2005] Imm. A.R. 272; [2005] I.N.L.R. 440; (2005) 149 S.J.L.B. 358; Times, March 11, 2005 [House of Lords].

04 Feb 2004	R. (on the application of Limbuela) v Secretary of State for the Home Department Queen's Bench Division. [2004] EWHC 219 (QB); Times, February 9, 2004. S55 NIA Act 2002.
17 Jun 2003	R. (on the application of Ekinci) v Secretary of State for the Home Department, [2003] EWCA Civ 765; [2004] Imm. A.R. 15; [Court of Appeal]
13 Jan 2003	R. (on the application of Lika) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2002] EWCA Civ 1855; (2003) 100(9) L.S.G. 28; Times, [Court of Appeal] 16 December 2002.
08 Oct 2002	London Borough of Havering v Mr Michael O'Connor. Queen's Bench Division
14 Jun 2001	The Queen on the Application of Trunca v Special Adjudicator [2001] EWHC Admin 586. [Queen's Bench Division Administrative Court]
04 May 2001	Haddadi v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2001] EWCA Civ 796 [Court of Appeal]

Inquiries

21 Sep 2016	Aylesbury Estate CPO Public Inquiry - Order not confirmed
13 Oct 2015	Aylesbury Estate inquiry

Publications

21 Jun 2021	Covid-19 Public Inquiry: Issues relating to Core Participants
21 Jun 2021	Preparing Now for Next Year's Covid-19 Public Inquiry - webinar
22 Jun 2020	Article 31 of the Refugee Convention and the right to settle
22 Jun 2020	Asylum and Human Rights Update - Webinar
12 Mar 2019	Issues Relating to Core Participants
25 Sep 2017	Blight notices and material detriment
30 Oct 2012	Judicial Review of the Upper Tribunal