

Landmark Chambers

Barrister CV


James Maurici KC



Contact us

clerks@landmarkchambers.co.uk

+44 (0) 20 7430 1221



James Maurici KC

Call: 1996 Silk: 2013

clerks@landmarkchambers.co.uk

James practices in planning, environmental law, and public law.



Expertise

Planning, Environment, Public and Administrative

Contact Practice Managers

Ben Connor

020 7421 2483

bconnor@landmarkchambers.co.uk

Michael Gooch

020 7421 1305

mgooch@landmarkchambers.co.uk

Jonathan Barley

020 7421 2480

jbarley@landmarkchambers.co.uk

Practice Summary

James practices in planning, environmental law, and public law. His practice regularly encompasses retained/assimilated EU law and international law. James was called to the Bar of England and Wales in 1996, and the Bar of Northern Ireland in 2009.

James was a member of the Attorney-General's London Panels of Junior Counsel to the Crown from 1999-2013. He also served on the Welsh Assembly Government's Junior Counsel Panel from 2009 to 2013.

He has been consistently ranked as a leading silk in planning, environmental law, and public law by Chambers and Legal 500. Environment/Planning Silk of the Year' at the Chambers UK Bar Awards 2022.

James has in the past chaired the Steering Groups for Planning, Environmental and Public Law at Landmark Chambers.

Planning law

James's planning practice encompasses all aspects of planning including advisory and advocacy at inquiries, hearings, examinations and in the Higher Courts.

In 2023 he appeared for Taylor Wimpey in the longest running inquiry of the year – Wisley Airfield: 32 days.

Recent High Court cases include: **R. (Weston Homes Plc) v Secretary of State for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities** [2024] EWHC 2089 (Admin); **Taytime Ltd v Secretary of State for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities** [2024] EWHC 1053 (Admin); **CPRE Kent v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government** [2021] 1 W.L.R. 4168; **Clin v Walter Lilly and Co Ltd** [2021] 1 W.L.R. 2753 and **R. (Plan B Earth) v Secretary of State for Transport** [2020] P.T.S.R. 1446.

James has huge experience of dealing with EIA, SEA and habitats cases in the Higher Courts having been in many of the leading cases. James has particular expertise in air quality issues (affecting both human and ecological receptors). He has appeared for developers in a number of inquiries raising these issues and also acted in High Court cases. He has advised and acted on a number of Planning Act 2008 schemes and also Transport and Works Act Orders. He has particularly extensive knowledge of dealing with airports projects.

His practice also encompasses compulsory purchase and compensation, harbours, highways, rights of way, commons registration and village greens (both inquiries and in the Higher Courts). He has promoted a number of CPOs and has defended a number of High Court challenges to CPO orders. James has considerable experience of ports, harbour orders and marine licensing matters.

He has authored numerous articles (published in the JPL) on planning law.

He chaired the PEBA working groups which produced Good Practice Memorandum 2: Guidance for barristers on dealing with experts at Planning and Other Similar Inquiries and Good Practice Memorandum 3: The Role of Legal Representatives at Planning Appeal Hearings, Examinations and Planning Inquiry Round Table sessions (see <https://peba.org.uk/resources/>).

Environmental law

James's environmental law practice is wide-ranging, covering matters such as habitats and species protection, civil sanctions, contaminated land, air quality, waste, access to environmental information, statutory and common law nuisance and all aspects of environment impact assessment, strategic environmental assessment, water related issues, marine environmental issues and environmental permitting.

He regularly advises and engages in cases concerning access to environmental information. He is a co-author with Jacqueline Lean on the chapters on environmental information in *Information Rights* Coppel (6th ed, 2023). His recent cases include Supreme Court decision in **Manchester Ship Canal Company Ltd v United Utilities Water Ltd (No. 2)** [2024] UKSC 22.

He has advised and acted in cases concerning the contaminated land regime in the Environmental Protection Act 1990. He acted for the Secretary of State in challenges to the first ever remediation notice appeal (see **R. (Redland Minerals Ltd) v Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs** [2011] Env. L.R. 2 and **R. (Crest Nicholson Residential Ltd) v Secretary of State for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs** [2011] Env. L.R. 1). He also appeared for Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council on the second ever appeal against a remediation notice in respect of the former Willenhall Gas Works.

James regularly advises on complex waste issues. James is acting for the Environment Agency in the case of **Protreat v Environment Agency** on reprocessed oils.

He has considerable expertise in climate change litigation.

He has also appeared a number of times before the UNECE Aarhus Compliance Committee in Geneva,: cases include ACCC/C/2010/45; ACCC/C/2010/53 (Edinburgh Tram) ACCC/C/2011/60; ACCC/C/2011/61 (Crossrail); ACCC/C/2012/77

(Nuclear NPS); and ACCC/C/2014/100 and 101 (High Speed 2). He is currently acting for the UK Government on the Brexit communication to the Compliance Committee - ACCC/C/2017/150. James has been in many of the leading cases on Aarhus costs. He is one of the authors of Landmark Chambers Aarhus Blog.

He is on the LexisPSL Environment Consulting Editorial Board and on the editorial board of Garner's Environmental Law.

Public law

His public law practice is very wide ranging. He has appeared in a number of high-profile public law cases. He was a co-founder and co-editor of *Judicial Review* for many years and is now a consultant editor. He regularly speaks and writes on public law issues. Recent cases include **R. (Wilkinson) v Enfield LBC** [2024] EWHC 1193 (Admin); **R. (Annington Property Ltd) v Secretary of State for Defence** [2024] 1 W.L.R. 365 and **R. (Doncaster MBC) v Doncaster Sheffield Airport Ltd** [2022] EWHC 3060 (Admin); **CPRE Kent v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government** [2021] 1 W.L.R. 4168

Retained/ Assimilated EU law

James regularly advises and appears in cases concerning retained EU law. Before Brexit he regularly appeared in the Court of Justice of the European Union and the General Court of the European Union (formerly the European Court of First Instance) on environmental matters. James was previously a part-time College Lecturer in European Law at Hertford College, Oxford.

International

In addition to his extensive European Court practice (see above) James has made several appearances before the UNECE Aarhus Compliance Committee in Geneva. He has also acted in cases going to the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg. His international practice also includes cases in the Isle of Man (including before the Staff of Government see e.g. **Penfolds v The Treasury** and public law advisory work in Gibraltar and the Turks and Caicos Islands. He has appeared in the Court of Appeal of New Zealand **Mick v Removal Review Authority** ([1999] NZAR 111).

Planning

James' planning practice encompasses all aspects of planning including advisory and advocacy at inquiries, hearings, examinations and in the Higher Courts.

In 2023 he appeared for Taylor Wimpey in the longest running inquiry of the year – Wisley Airfield: 32 days.

Recent High Court cases include: **R. (Weston Homes Plc) v Secretary of State for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities** [2024] EWHC 2089 (Admin); **Taytime Ltd v Secretary of State for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities** [2024] EWHC 1053 (Admin); **CPRE Kent v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government** [2021] 1 W.L.R. 4168; **Clin v Walter Lilly and Co Ltd** [2021] 1 W.L.R. 2753 and **R. (Plan B Earth) v Secretary of State for Transport** [2020] P.T.S.R. 1446.

James has huge experience of dealing with EIA, SEA and habitats cases in the Higher Courts having been in many of the leading cases. James has particular expertise in air quality issues (affecting both human and ecological receptors). He has appeared for developers in a number of inquiries raising these issues and also acted in High Court cases. He has advised and acted on a number of Planning Act 2008 schemes and also Transport and Works Act Orders. He has particularly extensive

knowledge of dealing with airports projects.

His practice also encompasses compulsory purchase and compensation, harbours, highways, rights of way, commons registration and village greens (both inquiries and in the Higher Courts). He has promoted a number of CPOs and has defended a number of High Court challenges to CPO orders. James has considerable experience of ports, harbour orders and marine licensing matters.

He has authored numerous articles (published in the JPL) on planning law.

He chaired the PEBA working groups which produced Good Practice Memorandum 2: Guidance for barristers on dealing with experts at Planning and Other Similar Inquiries and Good Practice Memorandum 3: The Role of Legal Representatives at Planning Appeal Hearings, Examinations and Planning Inquiry Round Table sessions (see <https://peba.org.uk/resources/>).

James was a member of the Attorney-General's London Panels of Junior Counsel to the Crown from 1999-2013. He also served on the Welsh Assembly Government's Junior Counsel Panel from 2009 to 2013.

He has been consistently ranked as a leading silk in planning, environmental law, and public law by Chambers and Legal 500. Environment/Planning Silk of the Year' at the Chambers UK Bar Awards 2022.

James has in the past chaired the Steering Groups for Planning, Environmental and Public Law at Landmark Chambers.

Environment

James' environmental law practice is wide-ranging, covering matters such as habitats and species protection, civil sanctions, contaminated land, air quality, waste, access to environmental information, statutory and common law nuisance and all aspects of environment impact assessment, strategic environmental assessment, water related issues, marine environmental issues and environmental permitting.

He regularly advises and engages in cases concerning access to environmental information. He is a co-author with Jacqueline Lean on the chapters on environmental information in *Information Rights* Coppel (6th ed, 2023). His recent cases include Supreme Court decision in ***Manchester Ship Canal Company Ltd v United Utilities Water Ltd (No. 2)*** [2024] UKSC 22.

He has advised and acted in cases concerning the contaminated land regime in the Environmental Protection Act 1990. He acted for the Secretary of State in challenges to the first ever remediation notice appeal (see ***R. (Redland Minerals Ltd) v Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs*** [2011] Env. L.R. 2 and ***R. (Crest Nicholson Residential Ltd) v Secretary of State for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs*** [2011] Env. L.R. 1). He also appeared for Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council on the second ever appeal against a remediation notice in respect of the former Willenhall Gas Works.

James regularly advises on complex waste issues. James is acting for the Environment Agency in the case of ***Protreat v Environment Agency*** on reprocessed oils.

He has considerable expertise in climate change litigation.

He has also appeared a number of times before the UNECE Aarhus Compliance Committee in Geneva,; cases include ACCC/C/2010/45; ACCC/C/2010/53 (Edinburgh Tram) ACCC/C/2011/60; ACCC/C/2011/61 (Crossrail); ACCC/C/2012/77 (Nuclear NPS); and ACCC/C/2014/100 and 101 (High Speed 2). He is currently acting for the UK Government on the Brexit communication to the Compliance Committee - ACCC/C/2017/150. James has been in many of the leading cases on Aarhus costs. He is one of the authors of Landmark Chambers Aarhus Blog.

He is on the LexisPSL Environment Consulting Editorial Board and on the editorial board of Garner's Environmental Law.

James was a member of the Attorney-General's London Panels of Junior Counsel to the Crown from 1999-2013. He also served on the Welsh Assembly Government's Junior Counsel Panel from 2009 to 2013.

He has been consistently ranked as a leading silk in planning, environmental law, and public law by Chambers and Legal 500. Environment/Planning Silk of the Year' at the Chambers UK Bar Awards 2022.

James has in the past chaired the Steering Groups for Planning, Environmental and Public Law at Landmark Chambers.

Public and Administrative

James' public law practice is very wide ranging. He has appeared in a number of high-profile public law cases. He was a co-founder and co-editor of *Judicial Review* for many years and is now a consultant editor. He regularly speaks and writes on public law issues. Recent cases include **R. (Wilkinson) v Enfield LBC** [2024] EWHC 1193 (Admin); **R. (Annington Property Ltd) v Secretary of State for Defence** [2024] 1 W.L.R. 365 and **R. (Doncaster MBC) v Doncaster Sheffield Airport Ltd** [2022] EWHC 3060 (Admin); **CPRE Kent v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government** [2021] 1 W.L.R. 4168.

James was a member of the Attorney-General's London Panels of Junior Counsel to the Crown from 1999-2013. He also served on the Welsh Assembly Government's Junior Counsel Panel from 2009 to 2013.

He has been consistently ranked as a leading silk in planning, environmental law, and public law by Chambers and Legal 500. Environment/Planning Silk of the Year' at the Chambers UK Bar Awards 2022.

James has in the past chaired the Steering Groups for Planning, Environmental and Public Law at Landmark Chambers.

Retained/ Assimilated EU law

James regularly advises and appears in cases concerning retained EU law. Before Brexit he regularly appeared in the Court of Justice of the European Union and the General Court of the European Union (formerly the European Court of First Instance) on environmental matters. James was previously a part-time College Lecturer in European Law at Hertford College, Oxford.

International

In addition to his extensive European Court practice (see above) James has made several appearances before the UNECE Aarhus Compliance Committee in Geneva. He has also acted in cases going to the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg. His international practice also includes cases in the Isle of Man (including before the Staff of Government see e.g. **Penfolds v The Treasury** and public law advisory work in Gibraltar and the Turks and Caicos Islands. He has appeared in the Court of Appeal of New Zealand **Mick v Removal Review Authority** ([1999] NZAR 111).

Qualifications

- Jurisprudence, Hertford College Oxford BA (First Class Honours) 1994
 - BCL (First Class Honours) 1995
- In his BA he was awarded the Martin Wronker proxime

Recommendations

"James is truly exceptional with an unrivalled intellect. He is razor-sharp, very user-friendly and extremely responsive."

Administrative and Human Rights, Legal 500, 2025

"Great technical skill and knowledge. Fully appraised of the law and statute as they evolve. Straightforward and direct advocate."

Planning, Legal 500, 2025

"James Maurici knows more about environment law than anyone else, and is a fantastic advocate to boot." "He's brilliant."

Environment, Chambers and Partners, 2024

"James has a strong reputation and a very good style. He's very approachable and very clever."

Local Government, Chambers and Partners, 2024

"James is exceptional. A joy to work with and my first choice should I require expert input on a complicated case."

Planning, Chambers and Partners, 2024

"James is creative in the way he thinks and it has proven to be useful." "James is an excellent all-round public lawyer, equally capable in public inquiries and all levels of the courts."

Administrative and Public Law, Chambers and Partners, 2024

"James is easy to work with and responsive. His depth and breadth of experience means he has a good instinct and is adept at thinking strategically."

Agriculture, Legal 500, 2024

"James is easy to work with, responsive, and sensible. His good instinct and opinion is valuable to clients and instructing solicitors."

Environment, Legal 500, 2024

'A pragmatic and approachable silk.'

Administrative and Human Rights, Legal 500, 2024

"The go-to for diligent, thoughtful comprehensive advice on all planning matters. James is the Yoda of planning. He is able to communicate with everyone in the room which means his advice is delivered in a user friendly manner."

Planning, Legal 500, 2024

"He's very smart and personable, and his advice is to-the-point and user-friendly." "He is a top-drawer advocate; a solid and safe pair of hands."

Administrative and Public Law, Chambers and Partners, 2023

"His expertise is excellent. He is a very safe pair of hands and very responsive." "James provides an excellent all-round service."

Local Government, Chambers and Partners, 2023

"He's a star in environmental law." "James Maurici is just a wonderful lawyer who I'm in awe of."

Environment, Chambers and Partners, 2023

"He is an excellent advocate, is well prepared and hard-working. He is a great team player and very user-friendly." "Incredibly talented; James is very user-friendly, great to work with, and I would never hesitate to instruct or recommend him." "He is a very strong advocate, prepares exceptionally well and provides clear, concise written advice."

Planning, Chambers and Partners, 2023

"James is able to combine his exemplary, specialist knowledge in order to provide a first-class service. Furthermore, his calm but confident method of delivery reassures clients."

Planning, Legal 500, 2023

"Combines his exemplary knowledge of the planning decision-making process with a calm but confident method of delivery that reassures clients ... and James Maurici KC, who is currently handling a group litigation case concerning claims for a terrorist-caused oil spill in Colombia, is also a standout silk."

Environment, Legal 500, 2023

"James is able to combine his exemplary, specialist knowledge in order to provide a first-class service. Furthermore, his calm but confident method of delivery reassures clients."

Local Government, Legal 500, 2023

"A quiet and considered silk, James wins the trust of clients by virtue of his depth of knowledge of the law; this combined with a particular eye for detail makes him a particularly talented strategic thinker."

Agriculture, Legal 500, 2023

"James was very easy to work with and responsive."

Administrative Law and Human Rights, Legal 500, 2023

"James is really analytical. He is a deep thinker and works unbelievably hard." "He is encyclopaedic in terms of his knowledge of all aspects of planning."

Planning, Chambers and Partners, 2022

Listed as a star individual. "He's excellent – very calm, cool and his opinion carries weight." "He is very capable and knowledgeable; his governance is the go-to for strategic difficult issues."

Environment, Chambers and Partners, 2022

"A very efficient advocate." "He is refreshingly easy to deal with, and his expertise in public law is excellent." "The way he conducts himself in meetings really instils confidence in his clients."

Local Government, Chambers and Partners, 2022

"His ability to know when to fight is really impressive." "He's a pleasure to work with and also very creative."

Administrative and Public Law, Chambers and Partners, 2022

"James Maurici is an extremely bright and powerful advocate with a great depth of legal knowledge and experience." "He has a fantastic eye for detail and is a pleasure to work with."

Civil Liberties, Chambers and Partners, 2022

"James is a first-rate advocate. He is extremely sharp and is quick to drive down into the key issues and form a clear view on strategy with the client's objectives in mind. His advocacy style is flexible and can move effortlessly from being combative to conciliatory. He has a real way with words and manages to straddle the complex line between entertaining advocacy and maintaining gravitas."

Planning, Legal 500, 2022

"A quiet, thoughtful and incredibly hardworking silk who is able to analyse difficult tactical issues."

Environment, Legal 500, 2022

"A silk noted for his encyclopaedic knowledge and sound judgement."

Civil Liberties, Legal 500, 2022

"A respected advocate well equipped to act in a broad range of planning cases for property owners and public entities. The long list of areas in which he is experienced includes advising on environmental matters and state aid issues related to planning or compulsory purchase. His recent planning inquiry experience features a particular focus on large housing schemes."

Planning, Chambers and Partners, 2021

"He is a very good cross-examiner whose knowledge of the law and style of presentation are unsurpassed." "James is incredibly thorough and smart enough that he can think on his feet."

Planning, Chambers and Partners, 2021

"A leading figure for matters involving climate change, fracking and marine environmental issues. He also advises clients on air quality, habitat and species protection, contaminated land and common law nuisance complaints." "He works unbelievably hard and is a real details person, not a hands-off silk." "He is utterly brilliant for complex judicial reviews."

Environment, Chambers and Partners, 2021

"Often seen in complex judicial reviews in the planning, environment and regulatory spheres. He is experienced in local government, EU and human rights law. He advises public bodies and private clients in non-contentious public law matters, and also has experience advocating before domestic and European courts."

Administrative and Public Law, Chambers and Partners, 2021

"One of best public lawyers in the country – he is phenomenally knowledgeable on the law and very sensible."

Administrative and Public Law, Chambers and Partners, 2021

"Experienced public law silk with a fine track record of advising public authorities on complex matters arising within the context of housing and education. He is regularly instructed in high-stakes local government disputes and has a solid understanding of EU law, human rights and funding issues."

Local Government, Chambers and Partners, 2021

"James is very down to earth and his negotiating skills are incredibly valuable when dealing with difficult objectors. He puts inexperienced witnesses at ease with his essential and pragmatic guidance." "He is good at identifying the best points in a case and is able to explain complex areas of law in a straightforward and practical way."

Local Government, Chambers and Partners, 2021

"A highly experienced civil liberties and human rights silk who is regularly called on to appear in ground-breaking, high-profile cases. His very busy practice sees him regularly appearing before domestic, European and international courts." "A longstanding name in the field."

Civil Liberties and Human Rights, Chambers and Partners, 2021

"A very thorough and innovative lawyer with an encyclopedic knowledge of local government law." "A brilliant legal mind who is able to communicate complex advice in a straightforward manner, both in writing and orally. He really understands the political sensitivities of local government work."

Local Government, Chambers and Partners, 2020

"He is highly intelligent, commercially astute and relentlessly strategic. James's advocacy style is of the highest quality – delicate at times, combative when necessary and always underpinned by a razor-sharp wit."

Planning, Chambers and Partners, 2020

"First choice for the overlap between public and environmental law." "He has a wonderful, persuasive, engaging style."

"Combines intelligence, insight and ease of use. First choice for our clients' most difficult cases."

Administrative and Public Law, Chambers and Partners, 2020

"James's excellent technical skills are a given, but what sets him apart is his very fine judgement, whether deployed in relation to shrewd case management or superb advocacy." "One of the best advocates at the Environment Bar."

Environment, Chambers and Partners, 2020

"A very safe pair of hands." "Fantastically knowledgeable."

Civil Liberties and Human Rights, Chambers and Partners, 2020

Cases and inquiries

01 10 24	Court of Appeal refuses permission to appeal against unsuccessful challenge to London Borough's decision to dispose of open space land
08 08 24	High Court Quashes Inspector's "Special Measures" Decision in Uttlesford
02 07 24	Supreme Court decision in Manchester Ship Canal Company Ltd v United Utilities Water Ltd (No. 2) [2024] UKSC 22
20 05 24	High Court Dismisses Resident's Challenge to Disposal of Land to Spurs Football Club
26 09 23	Inquiry opens into the proposed Wisley New Settlement
01 12 22	R (Doncaster MBC) v Peel L & P Investments [2022] EWHC 3060 (Admin)
11 02 21	Legal Challenge to Cherwell Plan granted permission to proceed
28 01 21	R (CPRE Kent) v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2021] UKSC 36
14 01 20	Citroen Site called-in inquiry begins
05 12 19	Guildford Local Plan challenges rejected
04 12 19	Guildford Local Plan challenges rejected
17 10 19	Heathrow expansion judicial review hearings to begin in the Court of Appeal
15 10 19	Heathrow expansion judicial review hearings to begin in the Court of Appeal

02 10 19	Upper Tribunal gives decision in environmental civil sanctions stop notice case
02 10 19	Upper Tribunal gives decision in environmental civil sanctions stop notice case
22 07 19	Airports NPS challenge to be heard by the Court of Appeal
19 07 19	Chiswick Curve tall building appeal dismissed
16 07 19	Secretary of State confirms Walsall road orders
02 07 19	Upper Tribunal hearing on environmental civil sanction stop notice regime to begin
20 06 19	Successful appeal for a scheme for up to 61,400m ² of light and general industrial uses together with storage and distribution at Plot 5, Andover Business Park, Pioneer Road, Andover
20 06 19	Appeal relating to scheme for 170 new homes on land east of New Road, East Hagbourne
06 06 19	Land at Thornhill Road, Keypoint Industrial Estate, South Marston, Swindon
01 05 19	Airports NPS Judicial Reviews Rejected
03 12 18	Forager Ltd refused permission to appeal in stop notice litigation
06 07 18	Chiswick Curve tall building inquiry closes
26 06 18	Inspector dismisses re-determined appeals in West Lancashire
26 06 18	Wisley Airfield inquiry opens
26 06 18	Ribble Valley Borough Council Core Strategy Examination Hearings to begin
26 06 18	Residential scheme at Draper's Yard in Thurrock
26 06 18	The Welsh Minister for the Environment, Planning and Countryside makes decision on first ever Greenhouse Gas Emissions civil penalty appeal
26 06 18	Symes Avenue, Bristol. CPO for Bristol City Council
26 06 18	Twickenham Riverside call-in for London Borough of Richmond
06 06 18	R. (on the application of Barker) v Bromley

06 06 18	R. (on the application of Lewis) v Redcar and Cleveland
08 05 18	Court of Appeal refuses permission in Petroleum Exploration and Development Licence Fracking Judicial Review
02 03 18	Court reserves judgment in "end of waste" Judicial Review
16 02 18	Supreme Court rules on Article 1, Protocol 1 in salmon fishing case
29 01 18	Further Tribunal decision in Forager v Natural England Environmental Civil Sanctions stop notice appeal proceedings
18 09 17	Aarhus costs rule challenge
15 09 17	High Court rules on interpretation of the Air Quality Directive in call-in judicial review
16 08 17	Petroleum Exploration and Development Licence Fracking Judicial Review dismissed
04 08 17	High Court quashes Forest of Dean Aldi store planning permission
19 07 17	RSPB and others v Secretary of State for Justice [2017] EWHC 2309 (Admin)
18 07 17	High Court to hear legal challenge to new Aarhus costs rules
03 07 17	Aarhus Compliance Committee adopt findings in Communication ACCC/C/2014/101
21 04 17	Upper Tribunal gives first environmental civil sanctions decision
07 02 17	Upper Tribunal hears first Environmental Civil Sanctions Order stop notice appeal
01 02 17	Court of Appeal rules on Ashdown Forest air quality and habitats challenge
30 01 17	Airports judicial review struck out
20 01 17	High Court hears strike out of Airports judicial review
08 12 16	Court of Appeal rejects challenge to retail condition for Skelmersdale town centre
01 09 16	Inspector allows appeal for outline permission for up to 150 houses at Moulsham Lane, Yatley despite Hart DC showing a 5YLS

01 09 16	Inspector finds West Lancashire to be a 5% authority
15 07 16	High Court dismisses Stoke Poges Free School challenge
14 06 16	Planning Inspectorate APP/V2825/W/15/3140695: Bective Works / Jebez House, NN2 7TD
11 05 16	Court of Appeal grants permission in Wealden habitats and AONB case
18 03 16	R (Privett) v Gravesham BC [2016] EWHC 1276 (Admin)
10 03 16	ACCC/C/2014/100&101 HS2 Action Alliance v (1) United Kingdom (2) European Union
01 03 16	Secretary of State grants permission for Northampton Sustainable Urban Extension
18 02 16	Successful appeal for a conversion of offices to academy Pioneer House, Hollbush Hill, Stoke Poges, South Buckinghamshire
03 02 16	R (Smech Properties Ltd) v Runnymede BC (Court of Appeal) [2016] EWCA Civ 42
08 12 15	Recovered Part IIA contaminated land appeal opens
22 10 15	High Court gives judgment in significant Health Service Ombudsman case
12 10 15	High Court gives judgment in M-Sport world rallying facility noise judicial review
06 10 15	European Court gives judgment in property search charging case
01 10 15	Successful appeal (recovered) for 53 homes on a former depot at Wain Close, Newport Road, Woburn Sands, Milton Keynes
16 06 15	Sustainable Urban Extension, Northampton
18 05 15	Enforcement notice appeal - land known as land lying to the east of Old Hertsfield Farm, Staplehurst Road, Marden, Tonbridge, Kent (formerly part of Riverfield Fish Farm)
16 04 15	Advocate-General gives opinion on lawfulness of charging for CON29 property information
01 04 15	Housing Scheme, Crowborough
01 04 15	Planning enforcement appeal

20 03 15	Secretary of State grants permission for called-in Swindon solar park
11 03 15	R (HS2 Action Alliance Ltd & London Borough of Hillingdon) v Secretary of State for Transport [2015] P.T.S.R. 1025
05 03 15	Court of Appeal rejects Exe Estuary SANGS Habitats Directive challenge
02 03 15	Water and Sewage Companies found to be public authorities for the purposes of the Environmental Information Regulations 2004
19 02 15	Aarhus Compliance Committee issues final decision in ACCC/C/2012/77
10 02 15	High Court rules on scope of the London Squares Preservation Act 1931
05 12 14	Frack Free Balcombe Residents v West Sussex County Council
18 11 14	Balcombe anti-fracking judicial review judgment awaited
19 09 14	Called-in Swindon solar park inquiry closes
17 07 14	Sullivan LJ refuses permission in Wightlink Ferries case
15 07 14	Tottenham Hotspur CPO confirmed
09 06 14	Aarhus Compliance Committee issue draft findings in communication ACCC/C/2012/77 concerning compliance by the United Kingdom
15 05 14	High Court gives judgment in Welsh Councillor misconduct case
06 05 14	Grand Chamber annul Directive 2011/82/EU on the cross-border exchange of information on road safety related traffic offences
01 05 14	Latest Forest of Dean Friends of the Earth bat Cinderford challenge fails
15 04 14	Court of Appeal allows Secretary of State's appeal in case concerning natural justice and the procedure inquiry rules; and deprecates "the fair crack of the whip" test
24 03 14	R (on the application of Great Yarmouth Port Company and Great Yarmouth Port Authority v Marine Management Organisation

19 03 14	High Court rules on Liverpool vs Sedgfield and some other NPPF housing interpretation issues
24 02 14	Barrow housing scheme
13 02 14	The European Court of Justice today gave judgment in Case C 530/11 European Commission v United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland concerning costs and interim relief in environmental cases
28 01 14	High Court to hear three day legal challenge over systematic abuse in Iraq
22 01 14	Court quashes retrospective planning permission for EIA development
22 01 14	R (HS2 Action Alliance Limited & others) (Appellant) v The Secretary of State for Transport and another [2014] 1 W.L.R. 324
19 12 13	Grand Chambers of the CJEU gives judgment in Case C 279/12 Fish Legal v Information Commissioner on whether privatised water companies are public authorities for the purposes of Directive 2003/4
12 12 13	Supreme Court give Edwards costs judgment
11 12 13	Supreme Court give Edwards costs judgment
10 12 13	EIA, Habitats and CIL challenge to Exminster housing scheme fails
11 10 13	High Court judgment gives guidance on interpretation of paras 14 and 49 of the NPPF
02 10 13	Divisional Court gives views on form of new inquiries into ill-treatment and killings in Iraq
20 09 13	Permission refused on alternative remedy grounds in JR of the MMO
17 09 13	Advocate-General gives opinion on whether privatised water companies are public authorities for the purposes of Directive 2003/4
12 09 13	Advocate-General gives opinion in Case C 530/11 European Commission v United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland concerning costs and interim relief in environmental cases
10 09 13	A-G gives opinion in Case C-43/12 Commission v European Parliament and Council
24 07 13	HS2 Action Alliance Ltd & others v Secretary of State for Transport [2014] 1 C.M.L.R. 10
22 07 13	Supreme Court hear further argument in Edwards case on environmental costs

18 07 13	High Court rejects prematurity challenge made on Aarhus grounds
11 07 13	CJEU hears Aarhus prohibitive costs infraction proceedings against the UK
24 06 13	Aarhus Compliance Committee to consider Greenpeace communication on costs
24 05 13	High Court rules in Iraq unlawful killings and torture cases
01 05 13	Aarhus Compliance Committee issues draft findings in Crossrail communication
01 05 13	Aarhus Compliance Committee issue draft findings in communications ACCC/C/2010/45 and ACCC/C/2011/60
26 04 13	Cheshire East challenge on prematurity fails
16 04 13	European Court hears reference on meaning of public authority under the Environmental Information Directive
11 04 13	European Court gives judgment in R (Edwards) v Environment Agency
20 03 13	High Court Rules on NPPF Challenge
15 03 13	R (Buckinghamshire CC, HS2 Action Alliance Ltd and Heathrow Hub Ltd) v Secretary of State for Transport [2013] EWHC 481 (Admin)
11 01 13	High Court upholds Thetford Area Action Plan
13 12 12	Advocate-General gives opinion in case on the scope of SEA
08 11 12	Abergavenny Livestock Market judicial reviews fail
01 11 12	Marine Management Organisation succeeds in first judicial review
07 09 12	Aarhus Compliance Committee issue draft findings in communication ACCC/C/2010/53
07 09 12	European Court to hear Supreme Court's Edwards costs reference
18 07 12	Supreme Court give judgment in planning revocation compensation case
29 06 12	Court of Appeal rules in Loader case

26 06 12	Aarhus compliance committee 37th meeting considers UK Communications
10 04 12	Further challenge to HS2 launched
03 04 12	Judicial reviews brought against HS2 by local authorities and HS2AA
29 03 12	Important Court of Appeal decision on Uniplex and delay in planning cases
29 03 12	European Court dismisses Commission's appeal in Case C-504/09 Commission v Poland
22 03 12	CJEU rule procedures for the partial or total repeal of a land use plan are subject to SEA
02 02 12	High Court orders judicial review of bid to ban heather burning
19 01 12	Case C-567/10 Inter-Environnement Bruxelles ASBL & Others v Government of the Brussels-Capital Region
14 12 11	Aarhus Compliance Committee Communication ACCC/C/2010/53
29 11 11	Avonmouth Energy from Waste
29 11 11	Wightlink Public Inquiry
22 11 11	Landmark involved in two Ombudsmen judicial reviews
17 11 11	R (Mencap) v The Health Service Commissioner CO/6118/2009
11 11 11	R (Adams) v Commission for Administration and a number of Local Commissioners for England [2011] EWHC 2972 (Admin)
20 10 11	Court of Justice of the European Communities gives judgment in the Seaport case
20 10 11	Seaport (NI) Ltd, Magherafelt District Council and Others v Department of the Environment for Northern Ireland
01 08 11	R (on the application of Shoemith) v OFSTED and others
29 07 11	R(Loader) v Secretary of State for Communities & Local Government [2011] EWHC 2010 (Admin)
26 07 11	R (on the Application of Cheshire East Borough Council Cheshire West and Chester Borough Council) v Secretary of State for Environment Food and Rural Affairs and others [2011] EWHC 1975 (Admin)

15 06 11	R (Flasz & Others) v Havering PCT
26 05 11	R (Berry & Marshall (Bolton Wood) Ltd) v Secretary of State for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs [2011] EWCA Civ 636
23 05 11	Landmark Chambers at forefront of United Kingdom Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trading appeals
06 04 11	Supreme Court rules on home disguised as barn
22 03 11	Commission's National Allocation Plan emissions decision annulled
26 01 11	Supreme Court rules on meaning of "domestic violence" in the Housing Act 1996
15 12 10	R (Edwards & Pallikaropoulos) v. Environment Agency & DEFRA [2011] 1 W.L.R. 79 (SC)
24 05 10	Ashton v Secretary of State for Communities & Local Government
07 05 10	R (SAVE) v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government Others [2010] EWHC 979 (Admin)
01 05 10	Hambleton Allocations DPD Examination for the promoter of a site (Mr Carl Les) for a Motorway Service Area on the A1(M) at Leeming Bar
28 04 10	R (Shoosmith) v Ofsted & others [2010] EWHC 852 (Admin)
01 04 10	Appearing for Galliard Homes in an inquiry into a mixed use scheme consisting of 209 flats together with live/work units offices
03 02 10	High Court dismisses contaminated land remediation notice judicial review
15 01 10	Supreme Court rule on application of the Aarhus Convention at the costs detailed assessment stage
23 09 09	European Court of First Instance gives rulings on EU Emissions Trading Phase II National Allocation Plan rejections
17 09 09	English Heritage, Westminster CC and Ashton v Secretary of State for Communities & Local Government
24 06 09	Cour de Cassation (France) Commune de Mesquer v Total France, SA, Total International Ltd
01 06 09	Pitshill Estate footpath orders
30 04 09	ECJ rule that negative screening decisions need not contain reasons

26 03 09	NHS rent dispute procedure tainted by appearance of bias
24 03 09	High Court dismisses challenge to Edge Lane West, Liverpool Compulsory Purchase Order
13 03 09	High Court Rejects Challenge to Grant of Permission for the Stansted G1 Proposals
11 03 09	The Court of Appeal Gives Important Ruling on High Court Planning Procedure
24 02 09	High Court Begins to Hear Challenge to the Grant of Permission for the Stansted G1 Proposals
19 02 09	R (Baker) v Bath and North East Somerset Council and Hinton Organics (Wessex) Limited
09 02 09	European Court of First Instance to Hear Important Emissions Trading Cases
27 01 09	High Court Dismisses Ecotown Judicial Review
22 01 09	R (on the application of Hidden Hearing Ltd) v The Hearing Aid Council
08 01 09	Bedfont Road, East Bedfont, Middlesex
05 11 08	ECJ Gives Judgment in Case Concerning Environmental Impact Assessment and Waste Recovery
06 10 08	Attwood v Health Service Commissioner
30 09 08	Cooper v Attorney-General [2008] EWHC 2178
01 09 08	Bovale v SSCLG [2008] EWHC 2143 (Admin)
14 07 08	Millgate Developments Ltd v Secretary of State for Communities & Local Government
11 07 08	R (Kay) v Health Service Commissioner
04 07 08	High Court to hear first UK Kobler damages claim
01 07 08	R (Lewis) v Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council and Persimmon Homes Teesside Limited [2008] EWCA Civ 746
26 06 08	R (Queen Mary University of London) v Higher Education Funding Council for England
01 05 08	High Court rejects challenge to grant of planning permission relating to Thames Basin Heaths SPA

18 04 08	High Court allows legal challenge to quarrying restriction in the Peak District National Park
01 04 08	European Parliament v the Commission and (ii) Case C-295/06 Denmark v the Commission
13 03 08	Advocate-General gives opinion on reference from French Courts on the sinking of the m.v. Erika - Case C-188/07
21 02 08	R (Bradley and others) v Secretary of State for Work & Pensions
21 01 08	Court of Appeal refers to the ECJ the issue of whether reasons are required for negative screening decisions under the EIA Directive
01 01 08	Livingstone v Adjudication Panel for England [2006] EWHC 2533 (Admin); [2006] H.R.L.R. 45
01 01 08	Bedfont Trading Estate Green Belt call-in for the London Borough of Hounslow
20 12 07	Court of Appeal gives judgment in important waste case
17 12 07	High Court rules in London Aquarium licensing inspection judicial review
05 12 07	London Concrete Batching Plant, Cranfield Way Industrial Estate for London Borough of Haringey
02 12 07	Tribunal of Commissioners rule on polygamous marriages
20 08 07	Balioniene and Bytautiene
05 07 07	Case C-255/05 Commission v Italy
30 05 07	High Court rejects further challenge to Olympic Compulsory Purchase Order
10 05 07	R (Thames Water Utilities Ltd) v Bromley Magistrates Court and the Environment Agency
10 05 07	Case C-252/05 R (Thames Water Utilities Ltd) v Bromley MC & Environment Agency (ECJ)
04 05 07	High Court rejects challenges to Olympic Compulsory Purchase Order
04 04 07	McCabe v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government
04 04 07	R (on the application of Anti-Waste Ltd) v Environment Agency
01 03 07	Case C-176/05 KVZ retec GmbH v Austria (ECJ)

01 02 07	Court of Appeal uphold the partial quashing of the New Forest National Park Designation
01 02 07	ECJ dismisses EIA infraction against UK in Case C-199/04
01 01 07	Advised DEFRA in the matter of R. (on the application of West London Waste Authority) v Mayor of London [2007] EWHC 757 (Admin); [2007] Env. L.R. 27
01 01 07	Advised Westminster City Council and all the London Authorities on the proposed 10th London Local Authorities Bill
01 01 07	Bradley v Secretary of State for Pensions
01 01 07	R (McCabe) v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government R (McCabe) v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government
01 01 07	R. (on the application of Midcounties Co-operative Ltd) v Forest of Dean DC Queen's Bench Division
06 12 06	Barker v. Bromley LBC (House of Lords)
19 10 06	London Mayor Ken Livingstone wins appeal against suspension
04 10 06	Ken Livingstone (Mayor of London) v Kingston (Ethical Standards Officer)
27 09 06	Pascoe v Secretary of State [2006] EWHC 2356 (Admin)
24 05 06	Oxfordshire County Council v Oxford City Council
04 05 06	Important ECJ judgments on EIA and outline planning permission
04 05 06	Commission of the European Communities v United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
01 01 06	Victoria Way for Galliard Homes
11 11 05	Wealden DC v Martin Grant Homes Ltd
07 12 04	Mid Bedfordshire DC v Brown [2004] EWCA Civ 1709
07 01 04	R (Delena Wells) v. the Secretary of State for Transport, Local Government and the Regions Case C-201/02
01 01 03	Newton Home Office Asylum Accommodation Centre for Rushcliffe Borough Council

01 01 02 Bicester Home Office Asylum Accommodation Centre for Cherwell District Council

01 01 01 The Alconbury Litigation

01 01 01 Banbury Lane, Northampton call in for English Partnerships

01 01 01 Didcot Town Centre CPO for South Oxfordshire District Council

06 07 00 Berkeley v Secretary of State [2000] 3 W.L.R. 430

15 01 99 R v. Bow Street Magistrate, ex p. Pinochet Ugarte (No. 2)

Publications

Challenging Primary Legislation J.R. 2024, 29(1), 66-73

Amending your scheme on a planning appeal in the inquiry context - the law and practice J.P.L. 2024, 9, 933-951

The role of counsel at planning appeal hearings (with Jenny Vyse and Nick Grant) J.P.L. 2024, 3, 242-250

The dos and don'ts of core documents at planning inquiries J.P.L. 2024, 2, 103-110

He is a co-author with Jacqueline Lean on the chapters on environmental information in *Information Rights* Coppel (6th ed, 2023).

Interim relief in planning and environmental judicial and statutory review (with Jacqueline Lean) J.P.L. 2023, 11, 1383-1411

Legal analysis of the UK Government's closure of schools in England in 2020 and 2021: J.R. 2023, 28(2), 87-103

Inquiry to hearing: some pitfalls surrounding PINS decisions on mode of determination J.P.L. 2023, 8, 985-1003

Legal analysis of the UK Government's closure of schools in England in 2020 and 2021: Part 1 J.R. 2023, 28(1), 26-42

Appeals against non-determination and extensions of time for determination: a bear trap for applicants J.P.L. 2023, 5, 621-627

Re-examination of witnesses at planning inquiries: the law, practice and procedure J.P.L. 2023, 1, 3-19

"Trust me, I'm the expert": the weight to be given to statutory consultees' witness evidence at inquiry (with Alex Shattock) J.P.L. 2022, 12, 1494-1506

"Developments in judicial review practice and procedure 2019" (with Kimberley Ziya) J.R. 2019, 24(4), 272-295

"When does the "no substantial difference test" make a difference in judicial review applications? Does the outcome differ, depending on whether the case is based on EU or UK law?" (with Admas Habteslasie) J.R. 2019, 24(2), 127-156

The status and legal effect of a quashed appeal decision in planning law J.P.L. 2018, 5.

International law in domestic practice: advice for practitioners on how international and comparative law arises in domestic case law E.L.M. 2016, 28(3/4), 155-163.

"Access to Justice: Review Procedures and Costs" in the Aarhus Convention A guide for UK Lawyers ed. C Banner (2015, Bloomsbury).

Dealing with a non-active defendant in judicial review proceedings J.R. 2015, 20(3), 157-159.

When does the heightened Mass Energy permission test apply? J.R. 2015, 20(2), 105-113.

State aid in planning and compulsory purchase order cases J.P.L. 2015, 6, 621-643.

Public law reviewability of land disposal (and management) decisions J.R. 2014, 19(4), 237-252.

Environmental Information Regulations chapter in Information Rights Law and Practice P Coppel (2014).

The influence of the Aarhus Convention on EU Environmental Law Parts I and II J.P.L. 2014, 2, 181-202 and J.P.L. 2013, 12, 1496-1512.

Aarhus access to justice and civil sanctions update E.L.M. 2011, 23(4), 170-198.

Judicial review and the MMO Env. Law 2010, 60, 18-25.

Climate change – case-law update Env. Law 2010, 58, 10-23.

Consent orders in section 288 and 289 proceedings J.P.L. 2010, 10, 1217-1236.

Disclosure and freedom of information in High Court challenges Env. Law 2010, 56, 20-34.

Rethinking regulatory sanctions: Regulatory Enforcement and Sanctions Act 2008 – an exchange of letters E.L.M. 2009, 21(4), 183-188.
