

# Landmark Chambers

## Barrister CV

Richard Moules KC



### Contact us

[clerks@landmarkchambers.co.uk](mailto:clerks@landmarkchambers.co.uk)

+44 (0) 20 7430 1221



## Richard Moules KC

Call: 2005 Silk: 2024

rmoules@landmarkchambers.co.uk

Richard specialises in planning and compulsory purchase, environmental, and public law.

### Expertise

Planning, Environment, Public and Administrative, Property



## Contact Practice Managers

### Michael Gooch

020 7421 1305

mgooch@landmarkchambers.co.uk

### Jonathan Barley

020 7421 2480

jbarley@landmarkchambers.co.uk

### Noel Pudney

020 7421 1398

npudney@landmarkchambers.co.uk

## Practice Summary

Richard was named the Planning and Land Use 'Junior of the Year' at the Legal 500 Bar Awards 2023.

He undertakes work for private developers, central and local government, public bodies and interest groups. He has been on the Attorney General's A Panel of Junior Counsel since 2018 and has acted for the Government in many of the most significant planning and environmental cases over the last five years.

Richard is consistently ranked as one of the top junior planning and environmental law barristers in the country.

Some of the recent high-profile cases Richard has been instructed on include:

### Supreme Court

***R (on the application of Finch) v Surrey CC (June 2023)*** - leading Nicholas Grant for the Secretary of State in a significant Environmental Impact Assessment case. The issue is whether an Environmental Impact Assessment for a commercial oil extraction project must consider the downstream greenhouse gas emissions arising from the oil's eventual combustion by third party consumers.

***Fearn v Board of Trustees of the Tate Gallery*** [2023] 2 W.L.R. 339 - led by Tom Weekes KC acting for residents successfully arguing that overlooking from the Tate Modern's viewing platform is an actionable nuisance.

***Manchester Ship Canal Co Ltd v United Utilities Water Ltd (March 2023)*** - led by Jonathan Karas KC and James Maurici KC in a case about whether private watercourse owners can sue sewerage undertakers in trespass or nuisance in respect of sewage discharges, or whether the statute provides exclusive remedies for the regulators (Court of Appeal: [2023] Ch. 1 & High Court [2021] 1 W.L.R. 5871).

***Secretary of State for Transport v Curzon Park Ltd [2023] UKSC 30*** - led by David Elvin KC in a case about compulsory purchase compensation arising from the HS2 scheme. The issue concerned the relevance of other parties' applications for Certificates of Alternative Development ("CAAD") when deciding a CAAD application for the subject site. (Court of Appeal [2021] P.T.S.R. 1560 Upper Tribunal [2020] UKUT 37 (LC)).

***C G Fry and Son Ltd v Secretary of State for Levelling Up Housing and Communities [2023] EWHC 1622 (Admin)*** - leading Nicholas Grant in a case concerning the lawfulness of carrying out appropriate assessment at the condition discharge stage post-Brexit which has been granted a leapfrog certificate to appeal to the Supreme Court.

### Privy Council

***Lake v Attorney General of Anguilla [2022] UKPC 33*** – led by Richard Clayton KC in a case about the correct valuation method for valuing land acquired by compulsion to extend an airport runway.

***Chitolie v St Lucia National Housing Corporation (July 2023)*** - led by Myriam Stacey KC in a case concerning land registration and the protection of overriding interests of occupiers in St Lucia.

## Planning

Richard's planning practice encompasses all aspects of planning both at inquiries and hearings and in the Higher Courts. He also advises clients on all aspects of planning law, including policy formulation, development control and enforcement. Richard regularly advised on s.96A and s.73 applications for major development schemes. His practice also encompasses compulsory purchase and compensation, harbours, highways, rights of way, commons registration and village greens (both inquiries and in the Higher Courts).

**Examinations in public:** Richard has appeared at several Core Strategy examination hearings for developers and interest groups. He has also advised a number of local planning authorities on issues related to preparation of Development Plans (including the Harrogate Local Plan, Waverley Local Plan Part 2, Maidstone Local Plan and the Bedford Borough Local Plan). He has also advised a number of local planning authorities on issues related to preparation of Development Plans.

### High Court planning work

His most recent high-profile planning cases include:

- ***R (on the application of Finch) v Surrey CC*** (June 2023) - leading Nicholas Grant for the Secretary of State in a significant Environmental Impact Assessment case. The issue is whether an Environmental Impact Assessment for a commercial oil extraction project must consider the downstream greenhouse gas emissions arising from the oil's eventual combustion by third party consumers.

- ***C G Fry and Son Ltd v Secretary of State for Levelling Up Housing and Communities*** [2023] EWHC 1622 (Admin) (concerning the lawfulness of carrying out appropriate assessment at the condition discharge stage post-Brexit)
- ***R. (on the application of Addison) v Southwark LBC*** [2022] EWHC 3211 (Admin) (successfully defending the grant of planning permission for Dulwich Hamlet Football Club's new stadium)
- ***R. (on the application of CPRE (Somerset)) v South Somerset DC*** [2022] EWHC 2817 (Admin) (acting for the claimant and quashing a grant of planning permission due to presumed and apparent bias of committee members)
- ***R. (on the application of Village Concerns) v Wealden DC*** [2022] EWHC 2039 (Admin) (successfully defending the grant of planning permission for a large housing scheme in a case about the interpretation of policy and allegedly misleading advice from officers)
- ***R. (on the application of Park Lane Homes (South East) Ltd) v Rother DC*** [2022] EWHC 485 (Admin) (successfully defending the decision to accept a neighbourhood plan examiner's report).

## Compulsory Purchase and Compensation

Richard advises on compulsory purchase and compensation issues. He promotes CPOs through public inquiries for development schemes, including for town centre redevelopments.

His most major CPOs include successfully promoting:

- Darlington Station Gateway CPO (£80m scheme)
- Peckham Rye Station (£30m scheme)
- Southall Gasworks Site (London Mayor's first CPO)
- Whitgift Croydon (for a Westfield & Hammerson joint venture shopping centre)
- Lewisham Gateway (transport and town centre regeneration).

Richard is currently promoting the Cornwall Council (Langarth Garden Village, Threemilestone) CPO 2022 which will deliver a Northern Access Road enabling development of 3550 dwellings near Truro.

Richard acts for objectors to CPOs, including the Barking Vicarage Fields CPO in 2022.

Richard has also defended a number of High Court challenges to CPO orders including ***Swish Estates Ltd v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government*** [2017] EWHC 3331 (Admin) and ***R (on the application of Barker) v Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government*** [2018] EWHC 2099 (Admin)

In the field of CPO compensation, Richard has acted for Nottingham City Council in a multi-million pound CPO compensation claim, he has also acted in relation to the compensation claims arising out of the Southall Gasworks CPO and the Whitgift Croydon CPO, plus he has acted for the Highways Agency in CPO compensation claim in respect of Bedford Bypass and the for Olympic Delivery Authority and Secretary of State for Culture Media and Sport in relation to compensation claims arising out of the London Olympic and Paralympic Games.

Richard's recent court cases concerning CPO compensation include:

**Secretary of State for Transport v Curzon Park Ltd** [2023] UKSC 30 - led by David Elvin KC in a case about compulsory purchase compensation arising from the HS2 scheme. The issue concerned the relevance of other parties' applications for Certificates of Alternative Development ("CAAD") when deciding a CAAD application for the subject site. (Court of Appeal [2021] P.T.S.R. 1560 Upper Tribunal [2020] UKUT 37 (LC)).

**Lake v Attorney General of Anguilla** [2022] UKPC 33 – led by Richard Clayton KC in a case about the correct valuation method for valuing land acquired by compulsion to extend an airport runway.

## Highways, Footpaths and Rights of Way

Richard has acted in a number of rights of way inquiries and regularly advises on highways issues including highways orders under the Highways Act 1980. He acted for the successful developer obtaining a stopping up order to facilitate the Intu Milton Keynes shopping centre extension. Richard is also acting for the City of London and Southwark LBC in arbitrations against TfL concerning the vesting of GLA roads, and the associated litigation (**Southwark LBC v Transport for London** [2017] EWCA Civ 1220 – permission granted to appeal to the Supreme Court).

In **Wheeler v Norwich Magistrates**, on an appeal by way of case stated, Richard successfully for the Ramblers' Association and established that the Magistrates' Court lacked jurisdiction to award costs to third parties (usually the landowner) in complaints under the Highways Act 1980.

## Infrastructure

### Planning Act 2008 and infrastructure

Richard regularly acts for Government defending challenges to Planning Act 2008 decisions (eg **R. (on the application of Mars Jones) v Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy** [2017] EWHC 1111 (Admin) and **R. (on the application of Mynydd y Gwynt Ltd) v Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy** [2018] P.T.S.R. 1274 (Court of Appeal) & [2017] Env. L.R. 14 (High Court)). He has also acted for the Marine Management Organisation in the DCOs: Thames Tideway Tunnel; Hinckley Point C; Preesall Underground Gas Storage Facility.

Richard has considerable airport-related experience. He acted for the Department of the Environment in Northern Ireland at inquiry concerning the expansion of flights from Belfast City Airport. He advised London City Airport on its planning application for expansion, advised Bristol City Airport on expansion under permitted development rights and advised Foster+Partners in relation to the environmental implications of the proposed Isle of Grain airport ('Boris Island').

In terms of other infrastructure, Richard promoted a CPO to regenerate Peckham Rye Station and its environs, a CPO to regenerate Darlington Station and he advised on the making of harbour revision orders for the Port of Dover.

## Marine Planning and Harbour Orders

### Marine Regulation (including Harbours and marine licensing)

Richard's marine work covers a number of marine regulatory matters including statutory harbour powers, harbour dues, harbour orders, marine licensing and marine planning.

Richard advises on all aspects of marine regulatory law. His clients include ports, harbours, local authorities, regulators and interest groups. He has particular expertise in the following areas:

- Interpretation of and compliance with statutory harbour powers
- Harbours dues and use of revenue
- Marine licensing
- Marine planning
- EIA
- Habitats and species protection
- Water regulation
- Environmental permitting.

Cases include:

- Richard acted successfully for the interested party defending the first judicial review brought of the Marine Management Organisation: see ***R. (Humber Oil Terminals Trustee Ltd) v Marine Management Organisation*** [2012] EWHC 3058 (QB).
- Advising on the St Ives (G7 Summit) Harbour Revision Order
- Acting for Littlehampton Harbour at a s.31 Harbours Act 1964 inquiry
- Advising a statutory harbour authority in relation to its statutory harbour powers connected with harbour dues and other charges.
- Advising a statutory harbour authority in relation to a number of claims against it connected with the levying of harbour dues.
- Advising in respect of a challenge against a statutory harbour authority based on a failure to comply with statutory harbour duties and misappropriation of harbour funds.
- Acting for the Marine Management Organisation defending its decision to grant a licence for marine dredging near Devonport Naval Base.
- Advising the Marine Management Organisation in relation to its licensing guidance and in relation to data sharing.
- Thames Tunnel: Acting for the Marine Management Organisation at the DCO hearings
- Advising the Marine Management Organisation in relation to the drawing up of the first Marine Plans
- Hinckley Point C: Acting for the Marine Management Organisation at the DCO hearings
- Acting for the Environment Agency at two week inquiry in test cases concerning its approach to water permitting.

Planning Appeals, Inquiries and Hearings

### Planning inquiries

Richard's planning inquiry experience is wide ranging and he acts for developers, local planning authorities and Rule 6 parties.

Richard has experience of promoting large retail schemes (including having successfully acted in called-in inquiries for the Rushton Lakes development, a designer outlet centre (Scotch Corner) and a shopping centre extension in England's first business neighbourhood plan area (Intu Milton Keynes)).

## Environment

Richard's environmental law practice is wide-ranging, covering matters such as habitats and species protection, contaminated land, air quality, waste, access to environmental information, statutory and common law nuisance and all aspects of environment impact assessment, strategic environmental assessment and environmental permitting. He has also been involved in a number of cases concerning marine environmental issues.

### EIA

Richard has appeared in a number of important cases on EIA including:

- ***R (on the application of Finch) v Surrey CC*** (June 2023) - leading Nicholas Grant for the Secretary of State in the Supreme Court in a significant Environmental Impact Assessment case. The issue is whether an Environmental Impact Assessment for a commercial oil extraction project must consider the downstream greenhouse gas emissions arising from the oil's eventual combustion by third party consumers.
- ***Pearce v Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy [2022] Env. L.R. 4***
- ***Bent v Cambridgeshire CC*** [2017] EWHC 1366 (Admin) (assessment of quarry noise)
- ***R. (on the application of Waters) v Breckland DC*** [2016] EWHC 951 (Admin) (enforcement and EIA)
- ***R. (on the application of Community Against Dean Super Quarry) v Cornwall Council*** [2017] EWHC 74 (Admin) (enforcement and EIA)
- ***R (on the application of Oldfield) v SSCLG*** [2014] EWCA Civ 1446 (cumulative assessment).

He regularly advises developers, planning authorities and interest groups on the adequacy of environmental statements.

### SEA

Richard acted for the Government reviewing the SEAs produced prior to the revocation of Regional Strategies, he also advises local authorities on SEA for plan-making.

### Environmental permitting and licensing

Richard regularly advises the Environment Agency in relation to environmental permitting issues. He acted for the Environment Agency at two-week inquiry in test cases concerning its approach to water permitting, and acted for the Environment Agency in a two-week inquiry successfully resisting an appeal to remove environmental mitigation conditions from the water discharge permit for Hinkley Point C nuclear reactor.

## Recent cases

Richard's high profile environmental cases include:

- **Wild Fish and Marine Conservation Society v Defra** -led by Sir James Eadie KC defending the Government's Storm Overflow Discharge Reduction Plan
- **Fearn v Board of Trustees of the Tate Gallery** [2023] 2 W.L.R. 339 - led by Tom Weekes KC acting for residents successfully arguing that overlooking from the Tate Modern's viewing platform is an actionable nuisance.
- **Manchester Ship Canal Co Ltd v United Utilities Water Ltd** (March 2023) - led by Jonathan Karas KC and James Maurici KC in a case about whether private watercourse owners can sue sewerage undertakers in trespass or nuisance in respect of sewage discharges, or whether the statute provides exclusive remedies for the regulators (Court of Appeal: [2023] Ch. 1 & High Court [2021] 1 W.L.R. 5871).
- **Wild Justice v Natural Resources Wales** [2021] Env. L.R. 24 - led by Sir James Eadie KC in a judicial review claim about the grant of general licences to kill wild birds.
- **Safety-Kleen UK Ltd v Environment Agency** [2021] Env. L.R. 19 - acting for the Environment Agency in a case about the definition of 'waste'
- **Gladman Developments Ltd v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government** [2020] P.T.S.R. 128 (Court of Appeal) & [2017] EWHC 2768 (Admin) (High Court) - acting for the Secretary of State in a leading case about how air quality impacts should be considered by planning decision-makers.
- **Thomson v Marine Management Organisation** [2020] Env. L.R. 13 -acting for the Port of Dover defending the grant of a marine licence for dredging
- **R. (on the application of Protreat Ltd) v Environment Agency** [2018] P.T.S.R. 2090 - led by James Maurici KC for the Environment Agency in a case about end of waste.
- **R. (on the application of Mynnyd y Gwynt Ltd) v Secretary of State for Business Energy and Industrial Strategy** [2018] P.T.S.R. 1274 (Court of Appeal) & [2017] Env. L.R. 14 (High Court) - acting for the Secretary of State in leading case about habitats protection and successfully defending the refusal of development consent for a wind farm due to the impact on red kite.
- **R. (on the application of McMorn) v Natural England** [2016] P.T.S.R. 750 - led by James Maurici KC in a judicial review of Natural England's refusal to grant a licence to kill buzzards.
- **Manchester Ship Canal Co Ltd v United Utilities Water Plc** [2014] 1 W.L.R. 2576 - led by Jonathan Karas KC in case establishing that water companies have an implied right to discharge into private watercourses from pre-privatisation infrastructure.

## Air Quality

Richard has particular expertise in air quality issues (affecting both human and ecological receptors). He acted in High Court cases such as **Gladman Developments Ltd v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government** [2017] EWHC 2768 (Admin) and **Wealden DC v SSCLG** [2017] EWHC 351 (Admin).

## Environmental Assessment (Environmental Outcomes)

### Environmental Impact Assessment

Richard has appeared in a number of important cases on EIA including:

- ***Bent v Cambridgeshire CC*** [2017] EWHC 1366 (Admin) (assessment of quarry noise)
- ***R. (on the application of Waters) v Breckland DC*** [2016] EWHC 951 (Admin) (enforcement and EIA)
- ***R. (on the application of Community Against Dean Super Quarry) v Cornwall Council*** [2017] EWHC 74 (Admin) (enforcement and EIA).
- ***R (on the application of Oldfield) v SSCLG*** [2014] EWCA Civ 1446 (cumulative assessment).

He regularly advises developers, planning authorities and interest groups on the adequacy of environmental statements.

### Strategic Environmental Assessment

Richard acted for the Government reviewing the SEAs produced prior to the revocation of Regional Strategies, he also advises local authorities on SEA for plan-making.

## Environmental Enforcement

### Enforcement including civil sanctions

Richard regularly advises the Environment Agency in relating to environmental permitting decisions and enforcement, and acts for the Agency at inquiry defending their enforcement decisions. He advised the Environment Agency on its policy for accepting enforcement undertakings.

## Habitats and Species

### Habitats and species protection law

Notable cases include:

- ***Wealden DC v SSCLG*** [2017] EWHC 351 (Admin) (habitats and air quality).
- ***R. (on the application of Mynydd y Gwynt Ltd) v Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy*** [2017] Env. L.R. 14 (appropriate assessment & red kite).
- ***R (McMorn) v Natural England*** [2016] Env. L.R. 14 (licensing shooting buzzards).

Richard has acted in numerous planning appeals concerning habitats and species protection issues. Richard advises on all legal aspects of habitats and species protection. He has worked on a number of high profile appeals and High Court cases in relation to shooting estates and shooting rights (including Walshaw Moor); ***RSPB v SSCLG*** [2014] EWHC 1523 (Admin)(appropriate assessment and airport development affecting birds).

Richard advised Natural England and a consortium of local planning authorities in relation to the Thames Basin Special Protection Area. He also regularly advises the Marine Management Organisation on habitats issues in relation to its licensing and enforcement decisions.

## Nuisance

### Nuisance and other property/environmental issues

Richard often acts in property cases involving environmental law aspects. He is currently acting as junior to Tom Weekes KC in relation to a nuisance claim by residents who claim the Tate Modern extension is a nuisance/infringes their right to privacy. Richard successfully represented United Utilities Water Plc in the Supreme Court in a case concerning the right of utility companies to discharge into private watercourse (led by Jonathan Karas KC) (*Manchester Ship Canal Co Ltd v United Utilities Water Plc* [2014] 1 W.L.R. 2576)

## Waste

Richard regularly advises on complex waste issues. He is currently acting for the Environment Agency in a challenge to its end of waste decision-making in relation to waste oils.

## Water

### Water and flooding

In addition to advising developers and planning authorities on flooding issues in the planning context, Richard acted for the City of London defending its decision to proceed with the £17m Ponds Project on Hampstead Heath: *R. (on the application of Heath & Hampstead Society) v City of London* [2015] P.T.S.R. 987. Richard successfully represented United Utilities Water Plc in the Supreme Court in a case concerning the right of utility companies to discharge into private watercourse (led by Jonathan Karas KC) (*Manchester Ship Canal Co Ltd v United Utilities Water Plc* [2014] 1 W.L.R. 2576). Richard also regularly advises on environmental permitting affecting water and acted for the Environment Agency at two week inquiry in test cases concerning its approach to water permitting.

## Public and Administrative

Richard has a wide-ranging public law practice beyond planning and environmental cases. His public law practice includes social security, education, regulatory, local government (including local government finance), EU law and all aspects of human rights law.

Richard has lectured and published widely on the subject of public law.

## Property

Richard's property work relates closely to his planning and environmental practice and includes proceedings in respect of injunctions for nuisance and trespass arising out of the development of land; disputes in respect of s106 agreements and restrictive covenants; and disputes arising out of development agreements which are conditional upon planning consent being obtained.

Richard's recent cases include:

- ***Chitolie v St Lucia National Housing Corporation*** (July 2023) - led by Myriam Stacey KC in a case concerning land registration and the protection of overriding interests of occupiers in St Lucia.
- ***Fearn v Board of Trustees of the Tate Gallery*** [2023] 2 W.L.R. 339 - led by Tom Weekes KC acting for residents successfully arguing that overlooking from the Tate Modern's viewing platform is an actionable nuisance.
- ***Southwark LBC v Transport for London*** [2020] A.C. 914 (Supreme Court) [2018] P.T.S.R. 333 (Court of Appeal) [2015] EWHC 3448 (Ch) (High Court) - led by David Elvin KC in a leading case about the meaning of highway in the context of the transfer of land from highway authorities to TfL.

## Qualifications

- Law at Fitzwilliam College, Cambridge (BA 2001; LLM 2003 (First Class))
- BVC (Outstanding) from the College of Law in 2004

## Recommendations

"Richard Moules gives punchy advice without sitting on the fence and is very good with clients due to that. He's very commercial; he understands clients and they understand him." "A standout junior and a silk in all but name."

### **Environment, Chambers and Partners, 2024**

"He is very calm, measured, very approachable and highly responsive. He is a great member of the team to have on very complex cases." "He does a phenomenal amount of work, which means he can cover a huge amount of ground, but in a very thorough way." "Richard is very commercial and understands clients and they understand him. His advice is easy to read and he is cost-effective."

### **Planning, Chambers and Partners, 2024**

"Richard is technically excellent, and his advice is of the highest quality." "Richard is an outstanding barrister. He is very clever but self-effacing. He has excellent knowledge of the law and brilliant on his feet in court."

### **Environment, Legal 500, 2024**

"Richard is an outstanding barrister. He is very clever but self-effacing. He has excellent knowledge of the law and brilliant on his feet in court."

**Planning, Legal 500, 2024**

"He is very effective in judicial review work, where he has amassed considerable experience." "Richard is superb with clients. He gets to the crux of the matter quickly and even on the most complex of matters is able to communicate with the client in a clear and concise manner."

**Planning, Chambers and Partners, 2023**

"Richard Moules is an excellent environmental lawyer - really first-rate."

**Environment, Chambers and Partners, 2023**

"He is very articulate, extremely user-friendly and very hardworking, and has a superb knowledge of the law."

**Environment, Legal 500, 2023**

"Richard is an outstanding barrister who commands tremendous respect amongst those who have the pleasure to work alongside him. He displays an exceptional understanding of planning law enabling him to consistently provide high calibre advice. Richard is calm, approachable and responsive, clearly advising on key issues and risks."

**Planning, Legal 500, 2023**

"He is a smooth advocate, hard-working, capable and everybody respects him." "He's very clear and concise, and clients really like him."

**Environment, Chambers and Partners, 2022**

"He is very calm and very good on his feet and at being selective in advocacy." "Richard is a rising star and it is no surprise that he operates at the top level."

**Planning, Chambers and Partners, 2022**

"Very impressive, he has an unflappable manner and is very charming."

**Environment, Legal 500, 2022**

"Richard is calm, measured and good on his feet. He comes across well with clients and works sympathetically with wider project teams."

**Planning, Legal 500, 2022**

"A standout." "He has considerable talents and is tenacious."

**Environment, Chambers and Partners, 2021**

"He's extremely strong for environmental planning and he has a phenomenal intellect." "Richard is the next big thing at the junior end of the Bar – he's affable, approachable and can-do, and he gets it done."

**Planning, Chambers and Partners, 2021**

"Richard is very calm and considered and an effective advocate. He slots in well to the wider team and is extremely diligent."

**Planning, Legal 500, 2021**

"He has a superb ability to digest a huge amount of information and turn those facts into a coherent and comprehensive legal position."

**Environment, Legal 500, 2021**

"Commercial, cost-efficient, responsive, and has an excellent rapport with solicitors and clients." "Technically impressive and great to work with."

**Environment, Chambers and Partners, 2020**

"Richard is extremely capable, consistently providing clear, pragmatic advice on tricky issues. He is approachable and is always quick to respond with measured and clear advice." "Calm, knowledgeable and really good to deal with."

**Planning, Chambers and Partners, 2020**

"He's very good: he's commercial, picks up the points quickly and is a very clear, confident and thorough advocate. A barrister who's going places."

**Planning, Chambers and Partners, 2018**

"A clear, confident and thorough advocate. He's going places." "He is really clear, receptive to a good dialogue and very easy to work with. He tailored his advice to precisely what I wanted." "He's technically excellent and knows his way around."

**Environment, Chambers and Partners, 2018**

## Cases and inquiries

05 12 23      A clean slate - Francis Chitolie v Saint Lucia National Housing Corporation [2023] UKPC 43

---

01 02 23      Fearn and others v Board of Trustees of the Tate Gallery [2023] UKSC 4

---

15 12 22      R (on the application of Addison) v Southwark LBC [2022] EWHC 3211 (Admin)

---

17 01 22      Pearce v Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy [2022] Env. L.R 4

---

18 01 21	Wild Justice v Natural Resources Wales [2021] EWHC 35 (Admin)
25 11 20	Flaxby Park Ltd v Harrogate BC [2020] EWHC 3204 (Admin)
13 11 20	Sevenoaks District Council v Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government [2020] EWHC 3054 (Admin)
02 09 20	R. (on the application of Thurloe Lodge Ltd) v Kensington RLBC [2020] EWHC 2381 (Admin)
27 02 20	R (Heathrow Hub Ltd) v. Secretary of State for Transport [2020] EWCA Civ 213
15 10 19	Heathrow expansion judicial review hearings to begin in the Court of Appeal
15 10 19	Heathrow expansion judicial review hearings to begin in the Court of Appeal
28 09 19	Thomson v Marine Management Organisation and others [2019] EWHC 2368 (Admin)
18 07 19	UBB Waste Essex Ltd v Essex CC [2019] EWHC 1924 (Admin)
21 01 19	R. (on the application of City of York Council) v Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government [2019] P.T.S.R. 471
16 01 19	Bright Horizons Family Solutions Ltd v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2019] P.T.S.R. 818
23 11 18	HJ Banks & Company Ltd v Secretary of State for Housing Communities and Local Government [2018] EWHC 3141 (Admin)
18 07 18	Southwark LBC v Transport for London [2018] P.T.S.R. 333
05 07 18	Southall Gasworks CPO
05 07 18	Cribbs Causeway Mall call-in
05 07 18	Intu Milton Keynes call-in
05 07 18	Peckham Rye Station CPO
05 07 18	Secretary of State grants planning permission for new designer outlet centre at Scotch Corner
05 07 18	Whitgift CPO Croydon

05 07 18	Rushden Lakes call-in
05 07 18	Walshaw Moor Estate Inquiry
05 06 18	Cantrell v Wycombe DC [2008] EWCA Civ 866
30 05 18	R (on the application of Thebo) v Entry Clearance Officer (Pakistan) [2013] EWHC 146 (Admin)
30 05 18	High Court orders judicial review of bid to ban heather burning
30 05 18	R (on the application of Milton Keynes Council) v SSCLG [2011] EWHC 1060 (Admin)
30 05 18	Wainhomes (South West) Holdings Ltd v SSCLG [2012] EWHC 914 (Admin)
30 05 18	R (on the application of Oldfield) v SSCLG [2014] EWCA Civ 1446
30 05 18	R (on the application of Doug Carnegie) v London Borough of Ealing
30 05 18	Marine Management Organisation succeeds in first judicial review
30 05 18	KS (Burma) v SSHD [2013] EWCA Civ 67
30 05 18	R. (on the application of Heath & Hampstead Society) v City of London [2015] P.T.S.R. 987
30 05 18	London Borough of Southwark & City of London Corporation v Transport for London [2015] EWHC 383 (Ch)
29 05 18	R. (on the application of Community Against Dean Super Quarry) v Cornwall Council [2017] EWHC 74 (Admin)
29 05 18	Stroud District Council v SSCLG [2017] EWHC Admin
29 05 18	R (on the application of Mars Jones) v Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy [2017] EWHC 1111 (Admin)
29 05 18	Meisels v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2016] EWHC 829 (Admin)
29 05 18	Budhdeo v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2016] EWHC 21 (Admin)
29 05 18	R (on the application of Stentor Music Co Ltd) v Reigate & Banstead BC
29 05 18	Manchester Ship Canal Co Ltd v United Utilities Water Plc [2014] UKSC 40

29 05 18	Brown v Carlisle [2014] EWHC 707 (Admin)
29 05 18	Class J permitted development rights challenge
29 05 18	RSPB v SSCLG [2014] EWHC 1523 (Admin)
29 05 18	Islington LBC v SSCLG [2013] 4009 (Admin)
29 05 18	R (on the application of Peel Land and Property Investment Plc) v Hyndburn BC [2013] EWCA Civ 1680
25 05 18	Travis Perkins (Properties) Ltd v Westminster City Council [2017] EWHC 2738 (Admin)
25 05 18	Swish Estates Ltd v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2017] EWHC 3331 (Admin)
25 05 18	R (on the application of Hussain) v Parole Board for England and Wales [2017] EWCA Civ 1074; [2017] 1 W.L.R. 3748
24 05 18	Rhoscrowther Wind Farm Ltd v Welsh Minsters [2016] EWHC 1388 (Admin)
24 05 18	R. (on the application of Mynydd y Gwynt Ltd) v Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy [2016] EWHC 2581 (Admin); [2017] Env. L.R. 14
24 05 18	Southwark LBC v Transport for London [2017] EWCA Civ 1220
10 05 18	Court reserves judgment in “end of waste” Judicial Review
10 04 18	London Borough of Southwark v Transport for London [2015] EWHC 3448 (Ch)
22 02 18	Court of Appeal gives judgment upholding Secretary of State’s refusal of the Mynydd Y Gwynt windfarm
12 01 18	Richborough Estates Ltd v Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government [2018] EWHC 33 (Admin)
18 10 17	Gladman Developments Ltd v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2017] EWHC 2768 (Admin)
04 04 17	Moulton Parish Council v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2017] EWHC 1047 (Admin); [2017] J.P.L. 1144
28 03 17	Bent v Cambridgeshire CC [2017] EWHC 1366 (Admin)

21 03 17	Wealden District Council v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, Lewes District Council and South Downs National Park Authority [2017] EWHC 351 (Admin)
10 02 17	R. (on the application of DLA Delivery Ltd) v Lewes DC [2017] EWCA Civ 58
29 07 16	Save Our Greenhills Community Group v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2016] EWHC 1929 (Admin)
26 07 16	R. (on the application of Hawksworth Securities Plc) v Peterborough City Council [2016] EWHC 1870 (Admin)
06 05 16	R. (on the application of Waters) v Breckland DC [2016] EWHC 951 (Admin)
23 03 16	Dartford BC v Secretary of State and Fairview New Homes Limited [2016] EWHC 649 (Admin)
22 01 16	Robinson v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2016] EWHC 634 (Admin)
21 01 16	Dartford BC v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2016] EWHC 635 (Admin)
17 11 15	Al-Najafi v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government
28 10 13	Jaytee (Rainton) LLP & ors v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2013] EWHC 2835 (Admin)

## Publications

Actions Against Public Officials: Legitimate Expectations, Misstatements and Misconduct (Sweet & Maxwell, 2009)

Environmental Judicial Review (Hart, 2011)